



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Review & Research International
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_PRRI_3199
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CONVERGENCE OF NORMALIZED VEHICULAR ROLLING FRICTION COEFFICIENT (C_m) BY DYNAMIC HIGH SPEED IMAGING AND LEAST SQUARE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
you have made some effort to include the amendments requested by me into the new version of the paper, however some of them remained untouched, not addressed or uncommented. The technical quality of the paper is now pretty good but the editorial one is still imperfect. In these circumstances I decided to ask the Academic Editor to decide whether the paper can be published with the imperfections listed below or it should be corrected and resubmitted. Please be proactive while introducing my below comments into the text, i.e. do not limit my remarks to one indicated line only. I am not able to list the repeating mistakes each time they appear. Please double check the paper if there are any other sites in the manuscript with the same editorial mistake. I know that this needs some time to be performed but I cannot see another option if you want your paper to be of the satisfactory editorial quality. The uncommented or not addressed remarks are as follows: 1. The fig. 1 is not included in the new version of the paper. That is O.K. to me but why the figure numbering starts with number 2. I guess that all the included figures should be renumbered. 2. My previous comment #2 has not been included. I do not understand the application of "in on" in this context. I am not a native English speaker, however, so if you are sure that the sentence is correct then O.K. 3. My previous comment #10 has not been introduced or commented. 4. My previous comment #11 has not been included. Also many other comments of this type have not been taken into account. I expected, and still expect, to embrace (with commas or a comma and a full stop) any expression of the type: "... where a is an acceleration, ... This will make long sentences you use more comprehensible. Please double check the paper for the expressions of this type. 5. Previous comment #24. See the point 2 of the current remarks. 6. Previous comment #25. See the current remark number 3. 7. Previous comment #34 has not been included. I still do not understand this sentence. I propose " Since $\Sigma F=0$, with $F_{sup}-F_{drag}-F_{rolling}$ at coast down, equal to $m_t \dots$ ". This sentence seems to be uncompleted. Also, after completing it, please end it with a full stop " . " (the full stop is necessary before "Let ..."). Also the sentence starting with "Let" is not ended with a full stop " . ". The additional remark concerns the force's symbol. You use three different symbols for the same quantity, i.e. F in table 6-7, F below these tables and F in table 8. This is unacceptable as will make potential readers lost or misled. This remark refers to the entire paper and also other quantities. Please double check the paper in respect of this. 8. Previous remark #37 is not included. I suppose we should have " ... was preferred (Mohebi at al., 2007). " instead of "...was preferred. (Mohebi at al., 2007) ". 9. Previous remark #41. Application of the star " * " is not clear to me. Perhaps it should be replaced with the multiplication dot " $\cdot$ "? Then minus " $-$ " should be placed before just after	All your edit suggestions have been added. However, on #11. (Previous remark #53. Included only in the line 285 of the previous version) I specified that it was a suggestion that I didn't feel like needed to be enforced. I noted your concern but decided to keep it as it is. Then on#18. (Previous remark #64. I still do not understand how to determine "a". This can be a problem also to other readers) this is cited from a secondary source of research, and readers will have to read up on that research to determine the coefficients. Thanks for all your help.



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

the equality sign “ = ”.

9. Previous remark #44. Not included. See the point 4 above.

9. Previous remark #45. Not included. I propose “ The above confirms ... ” instead of “ This confirms ... ”.

10. Previous remark #47 was introduced in the second table 8 but not in the text below the second table 8 and table 9. This remark was not include in the first table 8 (the last column) and the text below it. Please double check the entire paper for mistakes of this type.

11. Previous remark #53. Included only in the line 285 of the previous version.

12. Previous remark #55. Not included.

13. Previous remark #56. Not included. Please see point 4 above.

14. Previous remark #58. Not rectified. Please correct.

15. Previous remark #59. “ l ” and “ r ” should be italic.

16. Previous remark #60. Not divided into shorter paragraphs.

17. Previous remark #61. See comment 4 above.

18. Previous remark #64. I still do not understand how to determine “ α ”. This can be a problem also to other readers.

19. Previous remark #75. Please check the journal policy in this matter. If the presented format of the references is consistent with the journal policy in this mater then it is O.K. for me.

Additional remarks:

1. The caption of figure 2 is not located below the figure.

2. There is a lot of inconsistencies in using the italic, roman, regular and bold face letters in the paper. In other words, you use, for the same quantities, different types and sizes of the fonts in the equations or tables and in the text around them. This should be rectified. Otherwise, readers can be misled as I was. Please double check the entire paper. The example is C_{rr} (also C_{rr} and C_{rr}).

3. “. Here I repeat the remark 8. In the end of the first paragraph of INTRODUCTION we should have “ ... drag forces (Hibbeler, 2007). ”. Note also that the second paragraph of the INTRODUCTION is not ended with a full stop, i.e. one can expect “ ... 3D modelling (Ze at al., 2010). ” Please decide also if you want to write “ (Ze at al., 2010) ” or “ (Ze at al. 2010) “. Please double check the entire paper for the citations of this type.

4. We have two tables 8 in the paper. Please rectify this.

5. In the paragraph above section 3.2 C_{rr} should be italic. The index rr should be smaller.