



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4087
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE BACTERIA LOAD OF THREE FISH SPECIES SMOKE-DRIED USING RUBBER WOOD (HEVEA BRASSILLENIS) IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Statistical analysis needs to be redone. The treatment and experimental designs are not properly stated. Author should check the article for details	Statistical analysis was redone. treatment and experimental designs were properly stated.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The common names of the fish species needs to be mentioned in the abstract. Subsequently, the scientific names could be used alone. Authors should refer to the article for other comments	Common names were mentioned in the abstract as recommended.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Author should focus more on the results obtained from the trial rather than emphasizing weak claims that could not be justified by the study.	Results were focused on.