



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10745
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of pulp blending on the strength properties of paper from kenaf bark and corn husk
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- As describe in authors instruction the references in the text must be indicate in numbers brackets - reference Nieschlag et al., 1961 in the text and 1960 in the references. - Characterize the pulp in order to yield, rejects - Describe better the method used for bleached. What the initial and final kappa number, viscosity and brightness. - Put in the table 1 the cooking time - The data presented in the table 2 are the same in the different figures (not include in the text). Choose one. - improve the discussion of the results with more references	- Ok. thanks - Previous studies have addressed the pulp yield from both samples - Emphasis wasn't on the properties of residual pulp before and after bleaching but on the strength properties of resulting paper - Cooking time has been included - Thanks
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- In material and methods refer the moisture content in the raw material used in this work and the storage conditions.	Ok. Thanks