

Kinetics of Parboiled Paddy under Microwave Drying

Keshavalu¹, Brajesh kumar panda², Shafat Khan³, Sagar nagvanshi⁴, and S. L. Shrivastava⁵

Department of Agricultural and Food Engineering,

Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

Abstract

Microwave drying provides high heating rate and rapid moisture removal. The objective of this research was to investigate the effect of bed thickness and power levels on drying kinetics and to assess the quality of parboiled paddy under microwave drying. Effect of process variables, namely microwave power (180, 360, 540 and 720 W) and bed thickness (1.5, 3, 4.5 and 6 cm) were studied on drying rate, moisture ratio, moisture diffusivity and activation energy. Drying time significantly decreased with increase in power while increased with increasing bed thickness. Drying rate curves revealed that drying occurred only in falling rate period. The drying data were fitted with several established thin layer drying models. Moisture diffusivity increased with increase in power and decreased with increase in bed thickness. The highest diffusivity of $30.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ was found at 720 W and 1.5 cm thickness while the lowest diffusivity of $3.08 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ was observed at 180 W and 6 cm thickness. The highest value of activation energy was found to be 7.718 W/g for 1.5 cm bed thickness whereas the lowest was 2.58 W/g for 6 cm bed thickness.

Keywords: Microwave drying, drying kinetics, modeling, moisture diffusivity, activation energy

1 INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important staple food in Asia. More than 90% of the world's rice is grown and consumed in Asia, which is home to 60% of the world's population. Rice accounts for 35-60% of the caloric value intake of three billion asians (Guyer et al., 1998). Paddy is normally harvested at a high moisture content of about 20% to 24% (w.b.). After harvesting to prevent deterioration, the paddy should be dried down to a safe moisture content of about 12-14% (w.b.), which is considered safe for storage, milling and further storage as milled rice (Bonazzi et al., 1997; Inprasit and Noomhorm 2001). Parboiling is the pre-milling treatment which improves the quality of rice. Parboiling process consists of three major steps- soaking, steaming and drying.

Drying is the king pin parboiling process so far as the milling quality is concerned. Most conventional method used is hot air drying but it has major disadvantages which includes higher energy consumption, longer time duration and low drying efficiency. (K. Cheenkachorn, 2007). In conventional drying method the heat is transferred from the source to the food surface by convection which makes drying a slow process. Contrary to this, the other efficient method of heat and mass transfer is electromagnetic radiation. (Das et al., 2003a, b, 2004). The microwave drying mechanism is based on the interaction between water dipolar molecules and the electromagnetic field. Thus, the higher moisture content part of a product can absorb more energy which results in faster drying (Khraisheh, Cooper & Magee, 1997). The microwave power varies from 170 to 500 watts while the pulsation periods varied from 30 to 120 seconds (Cheenkachorn, 2007). Studies related to microwave drying of high moisture paddy at different power levels and bed thickness was not reported earlier. Hence the objective of the present work was to study the effect of microwave power levels and bed thickness on drying kinetics of parboiled paddy and to determine the effective moisture diffusivity and the activation energy of parboiled paddy under microwave drying.

50

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Preparation of samples

IR 36 variety of paddy was procured and chaff, dirt, twigs etc. were removed by cleaning paddy in two passes through an aspirator. Complete removal of immature paddy grains and other impurities were ensured. The paddy soaked in hot water at a temperature of 70-75 °C with time of 2-2.5 hour in water bath. Pressurized (1.5 kg/cm²) steam was passed through the perforated pipes until the husk just began to crack. (Bhattacharya, 1969)

2.2 Drying procedure

Each sample was spread on the microwave container at different depths of 1.5, 3, 4.5, 6 cm on the microwave oven and treatment was applied at four different power levels (180, 360, 540 and 720 W). Paddy at 30% (w.b.) moisture was used in the experiments. For each series of drying tests, samples were dried at different power level and bed thickness. The moisture contents at the beginning of the drying tests were determined by the oven drying method. Different thickness samples were used in all microwaves drying test. The samples were dried individually in a microwave container forming a different layer of parboiled paddy. The sample container was placed on the microwave oven center. During drying the samples were periodically removed and weighed using a digital balance (Shimadzu TX-423L) to the nearest 0.01 g. The weighing was done at different time intervals. The samples were dried until the moisture content was 14±1% (w.b).



Figure 1 Microwave dryer

2.3 Microwave container

Microwave containers were purchased from the market with a capacity of 2 litre. The containers were made up of plastic and shape of the container was elliptical. The height of the container was 8 cm and the containers had holes through out having the size of 2 mm diameter. The distance between holes to hole was 4 mm.

2.4 Mathematical modeling

Various empirical models were developed earlier for assessing the thin layer behavior. Generally it is assumed that at any instant during drying, the moisture evaporation rate varies proportionally with change in moisture concentration of the product, this can be presented by an equation analogous to newton's law of cooling (perry, 1984) as

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -k_d(M - M_e) \quad \dots 1$$

The generalized form of the above equation is :

$$MR = \frac{M - M_e}{M_o - M_e} = \exp(-k_d t) \quad \dots 2$$

Where, M is the moisture content at any time t (s), Me is the equilibrium moisture content (db), Mo is the initial moisture content (db), t is drying time (s), kd is the drying rate constant (s⁻¹). The drying curves were obtained and processed for drying rate to find the best fit among the 6 models with a different microwave power levels (180, 360, 540 and 720 W) and bed thickness (1.5, 3, 4.5 and 6 cm). The thin layer models are listed in table 1. The model coefficient for all the six models along with the statistical parameters was estimated by nonlinear regression technique using software ORIGINPRO 8. The statistical results of the different models, including the comparison criteria used to evaluate goodness of fit, viz., the value of coefficient of determination (R²) and reduced chi-square(X²) are presented. The goodness of fit of the models are characterized by the highest value of coefficient of determination (R²) and lowest value of reduced chi-square(X²).

Table 1. Model for predicting microwave drying characteristics of paddy

Model name	Model Equation	Reference
Lewis	$MR = \exp(-kt)$	Lewis
Page	$MR = \exp(-kt^n)$	Karathmos et al.
Modified Page	$MR = \exp(-(kt)^n)$	White at al.
Handerson and Pabis	$MR = a \exp(-kt)$	Akpinar at al.
Logarithmic	$MR = a \exp(-kt) + c$	Yaldiz et al.

Two terms exponential $MR = a \exp(-kt) + (1 - a) \exp(-kat)$ Togarul et al.

2.5 Estimation of effective Moisture Diffusivity

The drying of grains usually occurs under falling rate period. Fick's second law Eq. (1) was used to calculate the diffusivity (Crank, 1975)

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial t} = D \left[\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial M}{\partial r} \right] \quad \dots 3$$

Where M is moisture content (db.), D is moisture diffusivity (m^2/s) and r is the radius of a small sphere (m) from which moisture is diffusing. For simplified the above equation we assumed that Paddy has a spherical shape with radius, r; during drying Moisture Diffusion is homogeneous throughout the rice kernel; Moisture migration takes place radically outwards

from inside the grain; Shrinkage of the rice kernel during drying is negligible; and Mass transfer is symmetric and resistance to mass transfer at the surface of kernel is negligible. These assumptions were incorporated to the eq. (1) (RagabKhir, 2011; Ipsita Das, 2009; Aregbesola, 2015)

$$MR = \frac{M - M_e}{M_0 - M_e} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 \times D \times t}{r^2}\right) \quad \dots (4)$$

$$MR = \frac{M}{M_0} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 \times D \times t}{r^2}\right) \quad \dots (5)$$

$$LN(MR) = LN\left(\frac{6}{\pi^2}\right) - \left(\frac{\pi^2 \times D}{r^2}\right) t \quad \dots (6)$$

Where MR is moisture ratio, M_0 is initial moisture content (db.), M is moisture content at a given time (db.), M_e is equilibrium moisture content (db). Many


researchers found that under higher heating, average exposure time (t) and the equilibrium moisture content M_e was zero. (RagabKhir, 2011; Fasina, Tyler, & Pickaw, 1998). Diffusivity is determined by plotting the $\ln(MR)$ versus drying time t in equation (4), the plot gives a straight line with a slope of $(\frac{\pi^2 \times D}{r^2})$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Effect of process variable on drying time

Drying of parboiled paddy under microwave drying from 42.86 to 14.1 % ± 1 (d.b) moisture content was carried under different drying conditions. The variation in moisture content with elapsed drying time at each of the bed thickness values are shown

in Fig 1. The drying time increased appreciably as the bed thickness increased from 1.5 to 6 cm. The drying time decreased with increase in power level from 180 to 720 W due to increase in the water vapour pressure within the sample which increased the migration of moisture from inside of the product to its surface. Similar findings were reported by some authors (Y. Soysal, 2004; I. AlibasOzkan, 2007; K. Cheenkachorn, 2007; Songul Gursoy, 2013;) The time required for drying the parboiled paddy up to 14 $\pm$ 1% (d.b) takes 5.5 Min for 1.5 cm thickness with 720 W microwave power and 68 min for 6 cm bed thickness at 180 W microwave power. As expected higher the microwave power, higher would be the mass transfer which results in higher drying rate and consequently lower drying time.







Fig 1 Relationship between the moisture content (kg of water/ kg of dry matter) and drying time (Min) at different bed thickness (1.5, 3, 4.5 and 6 cm) and microwave power (180, 360, 540 and 720 W)

3.2 Effect of process variable on drying rate






Fig 2 Relationship between the drying rate (kg water/kg dry solid).min⁻¹ and drying time (min) at different bed thickness (1.5, 3, 4.5, 6 cm) and microwave power (180, 360, 540, 720 W)

The variation of the drying rates against drying time at different microwave power levels were observed with different thickness as shown in Fig 2. For a grain bed depth of 1.5 cm the drying rate increased rapidly in the beginning, attained a maximum value at about 6 min followed by a gradual decrease. The average drying rate varies from 3.19 to 0.17 (kg of water/ kg of dry solid).Min⁻¹. The drying rate increased with increase in microwave power while decrease in bed thickness. Two drying periods were observed, namely, an initial warm up period during which increase in drying rate took place and followed by a falling rate period characterized by a decrease in drying rate. No constant rate period was observed in all these drying conditions. Same trend was reported previously (Ipsita Das, 2007)

The moisture content of parboiled paddy was higher during the initial part of drying and this high moisture content was responsible for higher microwave power absorption, which might have caused a higher rate of heating and higher drying rate. The loss of moisture in the parboiled paddy reduced the absorption of microwave power which resulted in the decrease in drying rate. Thus, higher the microwave power output higher the drying rate. Similar finding were found in previous study (Y. Soysal, 2004; Ipsita Das, 2007; I. Alibas Ozkan, 2007)

3.3 Modeling of Drying Characteristics

The moisture ratio (MR) was simplified to M_t / M_o instead of $(M_t - M_e) /$

(M_0-M_e). The experimentally observed value of M_t and M_0 were used to analyze the change in moisture ratio respect to time for various operational conditions. The MR versus time data was fitted to the selected thin layer drying models mentioned in Table 2 to 5. The model coefficients for all the six models along with the statistical parameters were estimated by nonlinear regression technique using software ORIGINPRO 8.

Table 2 Statistical results obtained from various thin layers drying models for 1.5 cm of bed thickness

Model	Power (W)	Chi-square (X^2)	R^2	Variables
Page	180	5.37111×10^{-5}	0.99953	$k=0.01149, n=1.45861$
	360	9.69269×10^{-5}	0.99929	$k=0.02242, n=1.66458$
	540	1.55736×10^{-4}	0.99882	$k=0.04702, n=1.66944$
	720	1.0762×10^{-4}	0.99926	$k=0.05461, n=1.79887$
Modified Page	180	5.37112×10^{-5}	0.99953	$k=0.04678, n=1.45854$
	360	9.69129×10^{-5}	0.99929	$k=0.10214, n=1.66333$
	540	1.55698×10^{-4}	0.99882	$k=0.16021, n=1.66741$
	720	1.07624×10^{-4}	0.99926	$k=0.19863, n=1.79929$
Two terms exponential	180	1.00434×10^{-4}	0.96167	$k=0.04834, a=0.99874$
	360	2.83776×10^{-4}	0.99792	$k=0.1787, a=2.15714$
	540	2.71876×10^{-4}	0.99793	$k=0.27938, a=2.15366$
	720	9.34827×10^{-4}	0.99359	$k=0.35283, a=2.19245$

Table 3 Statistical results obtained from various thin layers drying models for 3 cm of bed thickness

Model	Power (W)	Chi-square (X^2)	R^2	Variables
Page	180	3.12394×10^{-4}	0.9971	$k=0.01117, n=1.39737$
	360	9.5478×10^{-5}	0.99926	$k=0.02055, n=1.59393$
	540	2.07959×10^{-4}	0.99821	$k=0.0416, n=1.6286$
	720	7.18739×10^{-5}	0.99949	$k=0.0502, n=1.74947$

Modified Page	180	3.12394×10^{-4}	0.9971	k=0.0401,n=1.3956
	360	9.5478×10^{-5}	0.99926	k=0.0874,n=1.59398
	540	2.07959×10^{-4}	0.99821	k=0.0141,n=1.62543
	720	7.18739×10^{-5}	0.99949	k=0.1710,n=1.7488
Two terms exponential	180	9.13932×10^{-5}	0.99915	k=0.06506, a=2.00596
	360	2.43397×10^{-4}	0.99813	k=0.15039, a=2.11753
	540	1.0355×10^{-4}	0.99912	k=0.24623, a=2.14404
	720	5.04244×10^{-4}	0.99644	k=0.32099, a=2.18903

288

289 **Table 4** Statistical results obtained from various thin layers drying models for 4.5 cm of bed
290 thickness

Model	Power (W)	Chi-square (X^2)	R ²	Variables
Page	180	1.74379×10^{-4}	0.99852	k=0.0019,n=1.74634
	360	5.82875×10^{-4}	0.9949	k=0.01732,n=1.45065
	540	3.10179×10^{-4}	0.99761	k=0.03182,n=1.71
	720	4.36054×10^{-4}	0.99685	k=0.02,n=1.9887
Modified Page	180	1.74379×10^{-4}	0.99852	k=0.02766,n=1.73796
	360	5.82875×10^{-4}	0.9949	k=0.06107,n=1.44226
	540	3.10179×10^{-4}	0.99761	k=0.13317,n=1.7106
	720	4.36054×10^{-4}	0.99685	k=0.13985,n=1.98461
Two terms exponential	180	2.83657×10^{-4}	0.99759	k=0.04846,a=2.17764
	360	1.89775×10^{-4}	0.99834	k=0.1028,a=2.07591
	540	6.50182×10^{-4}	0.99499	k=0.23553,a=2.17531
	720	9.24872×10^{-4}	0.99333	k=0.25435,a=2.27261

291 **Table 5** Statistical results obtained from various thin layers drying models for 6 cm of bed thickness

Model	Power (W)	Chi-square (X^2)	R ²	Variables
Page	180	3.40856×10^{-4}	0.99705	k=0.00178,n=1.70344
	360	8.3643×10^{-5}	0.99939	k=0.00479,n=1.83744
	540	1.76793×10^{-4}	0.99871	k=0.01031,n=1.91201
	720	1.18699×10^{-4}	0.99904	k=0.02816,n=1.6775
Modified Page	180	3.39603×10^{-4}	0.99705	k=0.02432,n=1.69228
	360	8.3642×10^{-5}	0.99939	k=0.05466,n=1.83704
	540	1.76775×10^{-4}	0.99871	k=0.09141,n=1.91363
	720	1.18684×10^{-4}	0.99904	k=0.11966,n=1.67613
Two terms exponential	180	2.9839×10^{-4}	0.99741	k=0.04236,a=2.16195

360	0.00121	0.99115	k=0.16519,a=2.2349
540	6.94278×10^{-4}	0.99493	k=0.09836,a=2.2244
720	2.62872×10^{-4}	0.99788	k=0.20829,a=2.15781

293 The value of coefficient of
 294 determination (R^2) as evident from the
 295 above tables, varied from 0.93865 to
 296 0.99953 for 1.5 cm, 0.94153 to 0.99949 for
 297 3 cm, 0.92405 to 0.99852 for 4.5 cm and
 298 0.91786 to 0.99939 for 6 cm thickness,
 299 whereas the chi-square were found to be
 300 varying from 5.37112×10^{-5} to 0.00894 for
 301 1.5 cm, 7.18739×10^{-5} to 0.00827 for 3 cm,
 302 1.74379×10^{-4} to 0.00909 for 4.5 cm and
 303 8.3642×10^{-5} to 0.00821 for 6 cm thickness.
 304 The models describing the best thin layer
 305 drying characteristics is the Page and
 306 Modified Page models, yielding the highest
 307 R^2 and the lowest χ^2 for the all different bed
 308 thickness and power levels. The second best
 309 fitted models were found to be the two terms
 310 exponential model for all different thickness
 311 and power levels.

312 3.4 Effective moisture diffusivity and 313 Activation energy

314 3.4.1 Calculation of the effective moisture 315 diffusivity:

316 To calculate the effective moisture
 317 diffusivity by using the method of slopes,
 318 the logarithm of moisture ratio values,
 319 $\ln(MR)$, were plotted against drying time (t)
 320 in accordance to the experimental data
 321 obtained at various microwave output
 322 powers (180, 360, 540 and 720 W) and bed
 323 thickness(1.5, 3, 4.5 and 6 cm). The linearity
 324 of the relationship between $\ln(MR)$ and
 325 drying time (t) was observed for various
 326 microwave output powers and bed thickness.

327 **Table 6** The estimated effective moisture diffusivity and statistical analysis of linear model at
 328 various microwave output powers for different bed thickness.

Thickness (cm)	Power (W)	Slope	$D_{eff} (10^{-10} m^2/s)$	R^2
1.5	180	0.0011	4.237143	0.9994
	360	0.0036	13.86701	0.9831
	540	0.0052	20.03013	0.9845
	720	0.008	30.81558	0.9856

3	180	0.0009	3.466753	0.9998
	360	0.0025	9.629869	0.9956
	540	0.0045	17.33376	0.9967
	720	0.0062	23.88208	0.9948
4.5	180	0.0009	3.466753	0.9991
	360	0.0014	5.392727	0.9982
	540	0.0033	12.71143	0.9991
	720	0.0047	18.10415	0.9861
6	180	0.0008	3.081558	0.9994
	360	0.001	3.851948	0.9942
	540	0.0034	13.09662	0.9666
	720	0.0037	14.25221	0.9933

329

330 The effective moisture diffusivity
331 values (D_{eff}) and the corresponding values of
332 coefficients of determination (R^2) of Eq. (5)
333 were presented in Table 6 for various
334 microwave output powers and for various
335 bed thicknesses. Drying occurs under falling
336 rate period. Ipsita Das et al. (2009) studied
337 effective moisture diffusion for high
338 moisture paddy under infrared drying which
339 ranged between the 0.778×10^{-10} and 3.884
340 $\times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ for different drying treatments.
341 Ragab Khir et al. (2011) observed that High
342 heating rate and moisture diffusivity could
343 be achieved with IR heating. It took only 60,
344 90 and 120 s to achieve about 60°C
345 temperature with corresponding moisture
346 diffusivities of 4.8×10^{-9} , 3.6×10^{-9} and
347 $3.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ during heating for drying bed

348 thicknesses of a single layer, 5 mm and 10
349 mm, respectively.

350 The effective moisture diffusivity of
351 paddy for microwave drying at 180 W
352 decreased from 4.23×10^{-10} to 3.08×10^{-10}
353 m^2/s , at 360 W decreased from 13.86×10^{-10}
354 to $3.85 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, 540 W decreased from
355 20.03×10^{-10} to $13.09 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ and 720 W
356 decreased from 30.81×10^{-10} to 14.25×10^{-10}
357 m^2/s , as the bed thickness increased from 1.5
358 cm to 6 cm for all drying condition. As the
359 power increased moisture diffusivity also
360 increased. Same results was obtained
361 previously before (Harish, A et al., (2014))
362 at the same time increasing bed thickness
363 decreased the moisture diffusivity as shown
364 in Table 6. This may be because, the
365 increase in electromagnetic radiation caused
366 rapid rise in temperature of the product,

which increased the vapour pressure and consequently led to faster drying diffusion of moisture towards the surface. (D_{eff}) average decreased with increase in bed depth. The resistance to the moisture movement may be more in case of higher bed thickness, which resulted in decrease in effective moisture diffusivity. (Ipsita Das et al. (2009)).



3.4.2 Estimation Activation energy

In this study the temperature could not be directly measured in the microwave oven used for drying. For the calculation of activation energy the Arrhenius equation was modified to illustrate the relationship

between kinetic rate constant and the ratio of the microwave output power to sample amount instead of the temperature. The drying rate constant is calculated by using best fit model.

$$K = k_0 \times \exp\left(\frac{-E_a \cdot m}{P}\right) \quad \dots 7$$

Where, K is the drying rate constant (min^{-1}), k_0 is the pre-exponential constant (min^{-1}), E_a is the activation energy (W /g), P is microwave output power (W) and m is the mass of raw sample (g). The values of k versus m/ P are shown in Fig 3. The values of k_0 and E_a are shown in Table 7. As the bed thickness increases the activation energy decreases from 6.83751 to 1.0385 W/g.






Fig.3 Relationship between the values of drying rate constant and sample amount/power.

Table 7 Relationship between activation energy and sample amount/power.

Thickness (cm)	k_0	E_a (W/g)	R^2
1.5	0.11039	6.83751	0.92891
3	0.09941	4.0067	0.92754
4.5	0.07789	2.8518	0.96298
6	0.05523	1.03815	0.95493

3.4.3 Effect of ratio of microwave output power to sample amount on effective moisture diffusivity

The aim of this study was to predict a relationship between the effective moisture diffusivity and the ratio of microwave output power to sample amount by following the procedure as mentioned in the previous section. After evaluation of the data, the dependence of the effective moisture diffusivity on the ratio of microwave output power to sample amount was determined,

Arrhenius type exponential model, which was derived by Dadali et al. (2007) as shown in equation (8).



$$D_{\text{eff}} = D_0 \exp\left(\frac{-E_a \cdot m}{P}\right) \quad \dots 8$$

Where P is the microwave output power (W), m is the weight of sample (g), D_{eff} is the effective moisture diffusivity ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$); D_0 is the pre-exponential factor ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$)

and E_a is the activation energy (W /g) the value of E_a found from this study was almost fitness of the data with the model is near to the value obtained from the previous illustrated in Fig. 4. The values of D_o and E_a section 3.4.2. are shown in Table 8. As a conclusion, the

Table 8 The estimated activation energy, moisture diffusivity coefficient, D_o (m^2 /s) and statistical analysis for various bed thickness

Thickness (cm)	D_o ($10^{-10}m^2/s$)	E_a (W/g)	R^2
1.5	63.994	7.7181	0.9555
3	53.48436	4.8129	0.98073
4.5	49.7183	3.91845	0.9159
6	36.72391	2.58529	0.8025







Fig.4 Relationship between effective moisture diffusivity and sample amount/power

Conclusions

Drying time was significantly decreased with increase in microwave power levels while it showed an increase with increasing bed thickness. For bed thickness of 6 cm and 180 W power levels moisture level reduced up to 14% and took the time of 45 min. The lowest drying time of 5 minutes was observed with bed thickness of 1 cm and Microwave power level of 720 W. Drying rate curves revealed the presence of a falling rate period for all the drying conditions. As microwave power increased the drying rate also increased for all drying conditions. On the contrary, an increase in bed thickness caused a reduction in drying rate. The average drying rate varies from 3.19 to

0.17 (kg of water/ kg of dry solid). Min⁻¹. Highest drying rate was found at 1.5 cm bed thickness and 720 W while lowest found at 6cm bed thickness and 180 W of microwave power. Dimensionless moisture contents or moisture ratios were plotted with time using thin layer empirical models viz., Lewis, Page, Modified Page, Handerson and Pabis, logarithmic and two term exponential models. Page and Modified Page model were in good agreement between experimental and predicted moisture ratio values depicting higher coefficients of determination and lower standard error of estimation. The value of the drying rate constant (k), increased with increase in microwave output

power, on the other hand, decreased with the increase in bed thickness. Moisture diffusivity increased with increasing microwave power level and decreased with increase in bed thickness. The highest diffusivity found at 720 W and 1.5 cm thickness was $3.08 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ while the lowest diffusivity of $3.08 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ was observed at 180 W and 6 cm thickness. The activation energy of paddy was calculated by using the exponential expression based on Arrhenius equation. Activation energy decreased with increase in bed thickness. The highest value of activation energy was found to be 7.718 W/g for 1.5 cm bed thickness where as the lowest was 2.58 W/g for 6 cm bed thickness.

REFERENCES

- Aregbesola, O. A., Ogunsina, B. S., Sofolahan, A. E., & Chime, N. N. (2015). Mathematical modeling of thin layer drying characteristics of dika (*Irvingia gabonensis*) nuts and kernels. *Nigerian Food Journal*, 33(1), 83-89.
- Bhattacharya, K. R. (1969). Breakage of rice during milling, and effect of parboiling. *Cereal Chem*, 46(5), 478-485.
- Bonazzil, C., Du Peuty, M. A., & Themelin, A. (1997). Influence of drying conditions on the processing quality of rough rice. *Drying technology*, 15(3-4), 1141-1157.
- Cheenkachorn, K. (2007, September). Drying of rice paddy using a microwave-vacuum dryer. In *Proceedings of European Congress of Chemical Engineering. Copenhagen* (pp. 16-20).
- Crank, J., 1975. The Mathematics of Diffusion, second ed. Oxford University Press, London.
- Dadali, G., Apar, D.K. and Ozbek, B. 2007a. Microwave drying kinetics of okra. *Drying Technology* 25(5): 917-924.
- Dadali, G., Apar, D.K. and Ozbek, B. 2007b. Estimation of effective moisture diffusivity of okra for microwave drying. *Drying Technology* 25(5): 1445-1450.
- Das, I., Das, S. K., & Bal, S. (2004). Specific energy and quality aspects of infrared (IR) dried parboiled rice. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 62(1), 9-14.
- Das, I., Das, S. K., & Bal, S. (2009). Drying kinetics of high moisture paddy undergoing vibration-assisted infrared (IR) drying. *Journal of food engineering*, 95(1), 166-171.
- Das, I., Das, S.K., Bal, S., 2003a. Determination of mixing index of paddy grains under vibrating conditions. *Journal*

543 *of Food Process Engineering* 26 (2),
544 121–133.
545

546 Das, I., Das, S.K., Bal, S., 2003b. Specific
547 energy and quality aspects of
548 infrared dried parboiled rice.
549 *Journal of Food Engineering*, 62, 9–
550 14.
551

552 Fasina, O. O., Tyler, R. T., & Pickard, M. D.
553 (1998). Modelling the infrared
554 radiative heating of agricultural
555 crops. *Drying Technology*, 16(9-10),
556 2065-2082.

557

558 Gunasekaran, S. (1990). Grain drying using
559 continuous and pulsed microwave
560 energy. *Drying Technology*, 8(5),
561 1039-1047.
562

563 Gunasekaran, S. (1999). Pulsed microwave-
564 vacuum drying of food materials.
565 *Drying technology*, 17(3), 395-412.

566 Gursoy, S., Choudhary, R., & Watson, D. G.
567 (2013). Microwave drying kinetics
568 and quality characteristics of
569 corn. *International Journal of*
570 *Agricultural and Biological*
571 *Engineering*, 6(1).

572 Harish, A., Rashmi, M., Krishna Murthy, T. P.,
573 Blessy, B. M., & Ananda, S. (2014).
574 Mathematical modeling of thin layer
575 microwave drying kinetics of
576 elephant foot yam (*Amorphophallus*
577 *paeoniifolius*). *International Food*
578 *Research Journal*, 21(3), 1081-
579 1087.

580 Inprasit, C., & Noomhorm, A. (2001). Effect of
581 drying air temperature and grain
582 temperature of different types of
583 dryer and operation on rice
584 quality. *Drying Technology*, 19(2),
585 389-404.

586 Kahyaoglu, L. N., Sahin, S., & Sumnu, G.
587 (2012). Spouted bed and
588 microwave-assisted spouted bed
589 drying of parboiled wheat. *Food and*
590 *Bioproducts Processing*, 90(2), 301-
591 308.

592 Kahyaoglu, L. N., Sahin, S., & Sumnu, G.
593 (2012). Spouted bed and
594 microwave-assisted spouted bed
595 drying of parboiled wheat. *Food and*
596 *Bioproducts Processing*, 90(2), 301-
597 308.

598 Khir, R., Pan, Z., Salim, A., Hartsough, B. R., &
599 Mohamed, S. (2011). Moisture
600 diffusivity of rough rice under
601 infrared radiation drying. *LWT-Food*
602 *Science and Technology*, 44(4),
603 1126-1132.

604 McMinn, W. A. M., & Magee, T. R. A. (1997).
605 Physical characteristics of
606 dehydrated potatoes—Part
607 I. *Journal of Food*
608 *Engineering*, 33(1-2), 37-48.

609 Ozkan, I. A., Akbudak, B., & Akbudak, N.
610 (2007). Microwave drying

611 characteristics of spinach. *Journal*
612 *of Food Engineering*, 78(2), 577-
613 583

614 Perry, R. H., Green, D. W., & Maloney, J. O.,
615 1984, Perry's chemical engineers
616 'handbook. *Perry's chemical*
617 *engineer's handbook*". McGraw-
618 *Hill Book*

619 Soysal, Y. 2004. Microwave drying
620 characteristics of parsley. *Biosystem*
621 *Engineering* 89(2): 167-173.

622