



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_37852
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Multitask User Requests Admission Control and Related Algorithm Based on SLA for the Cloud Service Provider in Cloud Computing Environment
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Title looks too clumsy. Should simplify to convey the research done. - Abstract is not clear, is it a research contribution or review work? - consider rewriting consciously. - Reason for choosing BS and EDF for comparison should be insisted meaningfully. - 'T' seems to cumulative task, however is was represented as subtask. - Section 3.1 states the link between profit model and admission control strategy , still it is not mentioned as objective. - author claims equation 14 and 15 as linear model, does it follows linearity? - Experiments does not match the admission control paramerters.	
Minor REVISION comments	Pseudocode for DPS model will be appreciable, else the steps seems to be too descriptive.	
Optional/General comments	There is a huge gap between the claim made as objective and the results inferred.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gokulnath K
Department, University & Country	Department of Information Technology, Parul University, India