



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36740
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints Perceived by Indigenous Technical Knowledge Practitioners in the hilly regions of Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-The word practioner, appears not being a 'typo' error/omission. It is repeated severally. It requires correction. My take is that the author meant 'Practitioner'. -line 21, indicates a poor starting of a sentence: "only solution....." -line 25, the use of the word 'which', instead of who. -line 33 is missing the word 'is'. -line 34 on the use of the word lead instead of 'leads' -line 73: the sentence is unclear -line 74: the word 'constraint' should be 'constraints'	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Kimenchu Mugambi
Department, University & Country	School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, Meru University of Science and Technology, Kenya