



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_35939
Title of the Manuscript:	Community Level Vulnerability due to Climate Change: A Comparative Case Study between Selected Naga Tribes in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The term indigenous is ethically accepted rather than the term tribal (Introduction). The north eastern states are called the seven sister states not eight sisters (Introduction).	
Minor REVISION comments	A separate objective and the consequent corroboration of the objective with the result part would have been better.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atrayee Banerjee
Department, University & Country	Department of Human Rights, Basanti Devi College, India