



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_35695
Title of the Manuscript:	WHATSAPP: A WORTH MEDIUM OF COMMUNICATION FOR TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY TO THE MASSES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	“WHATSAPP: a worth medium of communication for transfer of technology to the masses!” is an original research article very relevant and actual. This article show how WhatsApp package can be used as a channel for dissemination of information. The study contributes to the knowledge and the construction of evidence in the domains of mHealth Technology. The study is well delineated and the text is well structured. However, the article needs minor adjustments: On line 35-37 refers to data regarding the use of smartphones and internet in India. It's necessary to correct “over 1,003.490 million”. It's possible to justify with more recent and credible data (for example: Forbes article in JAN 6, 2016 - India Just Crossed 1 Billion Mobile Subscribers Milestone And The Excitement's Just Beginning by Saritha Rai). Line 37: Refers “The latest figure indicates” (???) It would be appropriate for the background to clarify the concepts associated with research, and to present some international research done in this area. Line 49-50: Refers “Experimental research design was used for 49 the present study”. It's necessary to cklarify what jind of experimental research. A pre-experimetal research (one-group pretest posttest design)? It is also be necessary to clarify how the sample was defined. It's also necessary to present the global results of t-test (see line 115-116 and result of paired t value) – the same for the others tables.	
Optional/General comments	I also consider that there is less reference to other international studies dealing with the same subject. The dialogue between the results obtained with those of other international studies would better support the process of analysis and discussion. It is suggested a search in the scientific databases on the results of other manuscripts that show relationship with the theme of the presented study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulino Artur Ferreira de Sousa
Department, University & Country	Escola Superior de Enfermagem do Porto, Portugal