



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJAST_32340</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determination of Energy Content of the Municipal Solid Waste of Ado – Ekiti Metropolis, Southwest, Nigeria.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Please cite the tables 1.1 and 1.2 in the text. In addition, comment the values presented in which table.</p> <p>Line 95 and Line 99: use Figure 1 instead of Plate 1.</p> <p>Section 1.1.2: please add some references in this section.</p> <p>Line 142: cite the author instead of the website</p> <p>Section 1.1.4: please add some references in this section.</p> <p>Please remove the figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 in pages 10 and 11.</p> <p>Section 2.1.2: please remove this three sentences:</p> <p>1)The weight of a clean crucible was tarred down to zero on the digital weighing balance.</p> <p>2)The sample identification and the corresponding mass was entered through the PC keyboard of the calorimeter prior to the determination of the energy content.</p> <p>3)On completion of the final phase, a final calorific value (CV) result was displayed on the screen of the calorimeter and stored. The mass and the</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>sample identification number were deleted.</p> <p>Please presented the standard deviation for the values presented in Table 3.3, Table 3.4 and Figure 3.1.</p> <p>The authors must improve the discussion about the results. Please compared the obtained results with others from the literature. The results and discussion section must be deeply improved.</p> <p>Please remove this sentence from the conclusion section: 2. Waste which often creates a nuisance to the environment can be converted to wealth with appropriate technology.</p> <p>The discussion in section 4.1 must be included in the conclusion section.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Matheus Poletto</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidade de Caxias do Sul, Brazil</i></b>