SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJAST_17162**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INFLUENCE OF ALUMINIUM SMELTING ON AIR QUALITY AT RUSAL ALSCON IKOT ABASI, Akwa Ibom State**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 47, 120-152 Relabel the references and arrange according to the format. Line 66 – Table 1 is just a repetition of Table 1a and Table 1b. Line 49 – The author has described about the effects of Hydrogen Fluoride which has not been studied in this paper. Line 88, 103 – The author has provided data on Cl_2(ppm) and HCN (ppm) which has not been discussed and compared. Line 104 – Describe point 1,2 and 3 as described in Line 90-94. Line 107-108 – No reference was given for the data on Table 3a. **This paper covers the same scope as the paper published in May 2013 but there was no reference made regarding this paper on the current author's paper. The details are below.** **Author:Ajake, A. O.; Ewa, E. E** **Tittle :Consequences of Aluminium**	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Smelting on Air Quality in Ikot Abasi I.G.A., Akwa Ibom State South-South Nigeria **Publisher : Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences;May2013, Vol. 4 Issue 2, p735**	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 47-Line 49 – Restructure the sentence to show the relationship between current study and the previous studies. Line 94-95 – Spacing for the start of the new statement.	
Optional/General comments	Line 1, 13- The author has provided data and comparison on sound pollution. So I recommend that the author restructure the tittle and the keywords to cover the scope of sound. Line 113- 115- It is better to support the authors statement with reference for recommended levels for safety.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Subramaniam Jahanadan***
Department, University & Country	***Physics Unit, Labuan Matriculation College, Malaysia***