

# **EVALUATION OF CHARACTER ASSOCIATION IN BARLEY (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) GENOTYPES FOR YIELD AND YIELD RELATED TRAITS**

## **ABSTRACT**

One hundred seventy barley germplasm lines (101 two rowed and 69 six rowed) and three standard checks (BH393 six rowed, BH946 six rowed and BH885 two rowed) were evaluated for ten quantitative traits using augmented block design consisting of 10 complete blocks during *rabi* season of 2014-15 at Wheat and Barley section research farm, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana. Grain yield per plant exhibited strong positive association with biological yield/plant, number of grains/spike, number of tillers/plant and 1000 seed weight for both the two rowed as well as six rowed barley genotypes. Path coefficient analysis revealed that all the characters have direct and positive association with grain yield/plant except peduncle extrusion length which had negative direct effect in case of two rowed barley. While for the six rowed barley, the characters viz. biological yield/plant, harvest index, number of tillers/plant, days to 75% flowering and peduncle extrusion length have positive direct effects on grain yield/plant. This suggested that selection will be quite efficient in enhancing yield and its contributing traits in context of germplasm evaluated.

**Keywords:** Association, Barley, Checks, Correlation, Germplasm, Path coefficient, Selection

## **INTRODUCTION**

Global demand and consumption of agricultural crops, particularly cereals, for food and feed is increasing at a rapid pace. This demand for plant materials has been expanding for many years (Edgerton, 2009). Crop breeding efforts are aimed at increasing the yield and economic value. However, yield is a complex character which is controlled by association of number of components most of which are under polygenic control. Thus the identification of important components and information about their association with yield and other traits are very useful for developing efficient breeding strategy for evolving high yielding varieties.

Correlation measures the mutual relationship between various plant characters and determines the components on which selection can be based for improvement. The knowledge of correlation that exists between important characters may facilitate proper interpretation of results and provide a basis for planning more efficient crop improvement programmes. The genetic architecture of grain yield can be better resolved through components rather than yield *per se*, as the yield is the end product of multiplicative interactions between various yield components.

Path coefficient is simply a standardized partial regression coefficient and as such measures the direct influence of one variable upon another and permits the separation of the correlation coefficient into components of direct and indirect effects. The utility of path coefficient analysis in plant breeding was demonstrated by Dewey and Lu (1959). To better understand the cause and effect relationship between different pairs of characters, the study of correlation in conjunction with path analysis is essential. Hence, the understanding of the genetic

diversity and knowledge of correlations combined with path analysis for the morphological characters along with the seed yield provides a rational approach for planning more efficient crop improvement programme.

If correlation between dependent and independent variable is due to the direct effects of the character, it reflects a true relationship between them and selection can be practiced for such character in order to improve the dependent variable. But if the association is mainly through indirect effects of the characters via another component characters, the breeder has to select for the later one through which the indirect effect is exerted on the ultimate dependent character such as seed yield.

Barley belongs to genus *Hordeum* in the tribe Triticeae of the family, which is a most paramount cereal crop and considered as the first cereal domesticated for use by man as food and feed (Potla *et al.*, 2013). It has been considered as poor men's crop because of its low input requirement and better adaptability to harsh environments, like drought, salinity-alkalinity and marginal lands. Because of its hardiness, in many countries around the world, it is often considered the only possible rainfed cereal crop under low input and stressful environments. It is grown in about hundred countries on nearly fifty six million hectares. In India also, barley is an important coarse cereal crop, being grown in *rabi* (winter) season in northern plains. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to evaluate association between yield and its contributing traits in barley crop for further utilization in breeding programmes.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The material for the present study comprised of one hundred seventy barley germplasm accessions (101 two rowed and 69 six rowed) along with three standard checks (BH393 six rowed, BH946 six rowed and BH885 two rowed), which were evaluated using augmented block design consists of ten complete blocks at Wheat and Barley section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. Checks were repeated in each block along with 17 test entries in a 2.5 m long single row plot. Row to row distance of 30 cm and plant to plant distance of 10 cm was maintained during *rabi* season of 2014-15. The recommended package of practices was followed to raise a good crop.

Five competitive plants from each plot were randomly selected for recording observations on the ten morphological traits viz. days to flowering (75%), days to maturity (75%), plant height (cm), peduncle extrusion length (cm), number of tillers/plant, number of grains/spike, 1000 seed weight (g), grain yield/plant (g), biological yield/plant (g) and harvest index (%). Average of the data from the sampled plant of each plot in respect of different characters was used for various statistical analyses.

The simple correlations between different characters were estimated according to Searle (1961). Its significance was tested by comparing at an appropriate level of significance of correlation coefficient at (n-2) degree of freedom, where 'n' was number of genotypes. Path coefficient analysis was carried out according to Dewey and Lu (1959). Grain yield/plant was assumed to be dependent variable (effect) which was influenced by all the nine characters and

the independent variables (causes), directly as well as indirectly through other characters. The variation in grain yield/plant unexplained by the nine causes was presumed to be contributed by a residual factor effect (x) which was uncorrelated with other factors.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The estimates of correlation coefficients among the different characters of barley germplasms are presented in Table 1. The grain yield/plant exhibited strong positive association with biological yield/plant, number of grains/spike, number of tillers/plant and 1000 seed weight in case of both two rowed as well as six rowed barley. However, plant height exhibited positive significant correlation in case of two rowed barley only. Thus, these characters emerged as important traits associated with grain yield. An improvement in above characters followed by selection effect is expected to positively affect the grain yield. The available literature also identified positive association on above characters with grain yield in barley by Kishor *et al.* (2000), Najeel and Wani (2004), Ozturk *et al.* (2007), Singh *et al.* (2008), Pal *et al.* (2010), Singh *et al.* (2014) and Lodhi *et al.* (2015). Kumar *et al.* (2013) observed significant and positive correlation of grain yield per plant with plant height, number of tillers per plant and test weight in wheat.

Positive significant association was obtained between grain yield and plant height in case of two rowed barley because these tall lines generally excelled in their capacity to support kernel growth by stem reserve mobilization (Blum *et al.*, 1989 and Al-Tabbal *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, selection for tall plants tends to increase grain yield/plant. The present study suggests that a positive association between grain yield and plant height and a negative association with days to 75% flowering and days to 75% maturity were obtained in six rowed barley. Previous studies have confirmed these results (Gebeyehou *et al.*, 1982; Amin *et al.*, 1992; Van Oosteron and Acevedo, 1992; Gashaw, 2007 and Al-Tabbal *et al.*, 2012), which means that early flowering and early maturing genotypes escape terminal moisture stress and thus give better grain yield.

Days to 75% maturity and biological yield/plant were negatively correlated with harvest index in case of the two rowed as well as six rowed barley and the similar results were obtained by Al-Tabbal *et al.* (2012), Singh *et al.* (2014), Yadav *et al.* (2014) and Lodhi *et al.* (2015). Peduncle extrusion length had negative significant association with days to 75% flowering in six rowed barley. The previous studies by Singh *et al.* (2014) and Lodhi *et al.* (2015) have also confirmed this result.

109 **Table 1:** Correlation coefficients in 2 rowed genotypes (above diagonal) and 6 rowed genotypes (below diagonal)

| Character                      | Days to 75% Flowering | Days to 75% Maturity | Plant Height (cm) | Peduncle Extrusion Length (cm) | No. of Tillers/ Plant | No. of Grains/ Spike | 1000 Seed Weight (g) | Biological Yield/ Plant (g) | Harvest Index (%) | Grain Yield/ Plant (g) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Days to 75% Flowering          |                       | -0.039               | -0.087            | -0.064                         | -0.076                | -0.054               | 0.036                | 0.118                       | -0.006            | 0.123                  |
| Days to 75% Maturity           | 0.084                 |                      | 0.043             | -0.040                         | 0.038                 | 0.031                | 0.088                | 0.210*                      | -0.198*           | 0.105                  |
| Plant Height (cm)              | 0.010                 | 0.274*               |                   | 0.057                          | 0.207*                | 0.183                | 0.190                | 0.243*                      | -0.070            | 0.220*                 |
| Peduncle Extrusion Length (cm) | -0.274*               | 0.026                | 0.274*            |                                | -0.069                | 0.036                | 0.132                | 0.116                       | -0.044            | 0.097                  |
| No. of Tillers/ Plant          | 0.007                 | -0.049               | 0.074             | 0.085                          |                       | 0.279**              | 0.222*               | 0.474**                     | -0.011            | 0.505**                |
| No. of Grains/ Spike           | -0.076                | -0.086               | 0.018             | 0.025                          | 0.661**               |                      | 0.358**              | 0.523**                     | 0.106             | 0.627**                |
| 1000 Seed Weight (g)           | -0.075                | 0.152                | 0.089             | 0.138                          | 0.500**               | 0.374**              |                      | 0.307**                     | 0.174             | 0.444**                |
| Biological Yield/ Plant (g)    | 0.003                 | -0.081               | 0.136             | 0.029                          | 0.848**               | 0.686**              | 0.394**              |                             | -0.396**          | 0.828**                |
| Harvest Index (%)              | -0.101                | -0.173               | -0.024            | 0.131                          | -0.118                | 0.014                | -0.042               | -0.315**                    |                   | 0.181                  |
| Grain Yield/ Plant (g)         | -0.022                | -0.154               | 0.124             | 0.075                          | 0.852**               | 0.723**              | 0.395**              | 0.937**                     | 0.029             |                        |

110    \*\* Significant at 1% probability level and \* at 5% probability level

111

112 **Table 2:** Path coefficient analysis (direct and indirect effects) of grain yield per plant with its component characters in 2 rowed barley  
113 genotypes

| Character                      | Days to 75% Flowering | Days to 75% Maturity | Plant Height (cm) | Peduncle Extrusion Length (cm) | No. of Tillers/ Plant | No. of Grains/ Spike | 1000 Seed Weight (g) | Biological Yield/ Plant (g) | Harvest Index (%) | Genotypic correlation with seed yield/ plant |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Days to 75% Flowering          | <b>0.0027</b>         | 0.0000               | -0.0001           | 0.0000                         | -0.0006               | -0.0003              | 0.0005               | 0.1244                      | -0.0034           | <b>0.1231</b>                                |
| Days to 75% Maturity           | -0.0001               | <b>0.0002</b>        | 0.0001            | 0.0000                         | 0.0003                | 0.0002               | 0.0011               | 0.2208                      | -0.1177           | <b>0.1050</b>                                |
| Plant Height (cm)              | -0.0002               | 0.0000               | <b>0.0014</b>     | 0.0000                         | 0.0017                | 0.0011               | 0.0025               | 0.2552                      | -0.0415           | <b>0.2201</b>                                |
| Peduncle Extrusion Length (cm) | -0.0002               | 0.0000               | 0.0001            | <b>-0.0002</b>                 | -0.0006               | 0.0002               | 0.0017               | 0.1220                      | -0.0261           | <b>0.0970</b>                                |
| No. of Tillers/ Plant          | -0.0002               | 0.0000               | 0.0003            | 0.0000                         | <b>0.0085</b>         | 0.0017               | 0.0029               | 0.4982                      | -0.0067           | <b>0.5047</b>                                |
| No. of Grains/ Spike           | -0.0001               | 0.0000               | 0.0003            | 0.0000                         | 0.0024                | <b>0.0059</b>        | 0.0047               | 0.5503                      | 0.0632            | <b>0.6266</b>                                |
| 1000 Seed Weight (g)           | 0.0001                | 0.0000               | 0.0003            | 0.0000                         | 0.0019                | 0.0021               | <b>0.0131</b>        | 0.3228                      | 0.1037            | <b>0.4439</b>                                |
| Biological Yield/ Plant (g)    | 0.0003                | 0.0001               | 0.0003            | 0.0000                         | 0.0040                | 0.0031               | 0.0040               | <b>1.0520</b>               | -0.2355           | <b>0.8283</b>                                |
| Harvest Index (%)              | 0.0000                | 0.0000               | -0.0001           | 0.0000                         | -0.0001               | 0.0006               | 0.0023               | -0.4166                     | <b>0.5947</b>     | <b>0.1808</b>                                |

114 **Residual effect = 0.0814**

**Bold figures indicate the direct effects**

**Table 3:** Path coefficient analysis (direct and indirect effects) of grain yield per plant with its component characters in 6 rowed barley genotypes

| Character                      | Days to 75% Flowering | Days to 75% Maturity | Plant Height (cm) | Peduncle Extrusion Length (cm) | No. of Tillers/ Plant | No. of Grains/ Spike | 1000 Seed Weight (g) | Biological Yield/ Plant (g) | Harvest Index (%) | Genotypic correlation with seed yield/ plant |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Days to 75% Flowering          | <b>0.0112</b>         | -0.0004              | -0.0001           | -0.0008                        | 0.0001                | 0.0004               | 0.0004               | 0.0034                      | -0.0364           | <b>-0.0221</b>                               |
| Days to 75% Maturity           | 0.0009                | <b>-0.0043</b>       | -0.0025           | 0.0001                         | -0.0008               | 0.0005               | -0.0009              | -0.0845                     | -0.0621           | <b>-0.1536</b>                               |
| Plant Height (cm)              | 0.0001                | -0.0012              | <b>-0.0093</b>    | 0.0008                         | 0.0011                | -0.0001              | -0.0005              | 0.1414                      | -0.0085           | <b>0.1239</b>                                |
| Peduncle Extrusion Length (cm) | -0.0031               | -0.0001              | -0.0025           | <b>0.0029</b>                  | 0.0013                | -0.0001              | -0.0008              | 0.0304                      | 0.0470            | <b>0.0749</b>                                |
| No. of Tillers/ Plant          | 0.0001                | 0.0002               | -0.0007           | 0.0002                         | <b>0.0154</b>         | -0.0037              | -0.0029              | 0.8855                      | -0.0423           | <b>0.8519</b>                                |
| No. of Grains/ Spike           | -0.0009               | 0.0004               | -0.0002           | 0.0001                         | 0.0102                | <b>-0.0055</b>       | -0.0022              | 0.7162                      | 0.0051            | <b>0.7232</b>                                |
| 1000 Seed Weight (g)           | -0.0008               | -0.0007              | -0.0008           | 0.0004                         | 0.0077                | -0.0021              | <b>-0.0058</b>       | 0.4118                      | -0.0149           | <b>0.3947</b>                                |
| Biological Yield/ Plant (g)    | 0.0000                | 0.0003               | -0.0013           | 0.0001                         | 0.0130                | -0.0038              | -0.0023              | <b>1.0440</b>               | -0.1134           | <b>0.9368</b>                                |
| Harvest Index (%)              | -0.0011               | 0.0007               | 0.0002            | 0.0004                         | -0.0018               | -0.0001              | 0.0002               | -0.3292                     | <b>0.3597</b>     | <b>0.0291</b>                                |

119 **Residual effect = 0.0726**

120 **Bold figures indicate the direct effects**

Similarly biological yield/plant had significant positive association with number of tillers/plant, number of grains/spike, 1000 seed weight, plant height and days to 75% maturity while 1000 seed weight was positively correlated with number of tillers/plant in case of six rowed barley. Number of grains/spike had significant positive correlation with number of tillers/plant in case of both the two rowed and six rowed barley genotypes. The available literature has also indicated the similar findings in barley (Ogunbayo *et al.*, 2005; Zahid *et al.*, 2006; Yadav *et al.*, 2011; Pratap *et al.*, 2012; Al-Tabbal *et al.*, 2012; Singh *et al.*, 2014; Yadav *et al.*, 2014 and Lodhi *et al.*, 2015).

Simple correlation coefficient values do not make a complete picture of complex situation of association among different characters therefore the assessment of real contribution of each individual character towards seed yield/plant is important to decide about selection indices. Path coefficient analysis provides more realistic picture of the relationship among the characters. The path coefficient analysis reveals whether the association of each individual character with yield is due to its direct effect on yield or is a consequence of indirect effects via other component characters. Thus, path coefficient is essential to know the effectiveness of selection for simultaneous improvement in these characters. The direct and indirect effects of nine characters on grain yield per plant estimated under path coefficient analysis using simple correlations are given in Table 2 for two rowed barley genotypes and Table 3 for six rowed barley genotypes.

All the direct effects were less than one except for the biological yield/plant which indicates that influences due to multicollinearity were minimal (Gravois and Helms, 1992). Partitioning of genotypic correlation between grain yield per plant and its component characters revealed that the direct effects were, in general, of higher magnitude than that of their indirect effects for all the characters.

The high positive direct effects on grain yield/plant were exerted by biological yield/plant and harvest index in case of both the two rowed and six rowed barley. Thus these characters emerged as most important direct yield components on which emphasis should be given during simultaneous selection aimed at improving grain yield of barley (Table 2 and Table 3). These characters have also been identified as major direct contributors towards grain yield by Mishra and Verma (2002), Jayasudha and Sharma (2010), Yadav *et al.* (2011) and Pratap *et al.* (2012).

Biological yield/plant exerted considerable positive indirect effects on grain yield/plant via 1000 seed weight, number of tillers/plant and number of grains/spike, while negative indirect effect via harvest index in case of two rowed barley. Harvest index had positive indirect effect on grain yield/plant via 1000 seed weight and number of grains/spike in case of two rowed barley and harvest index had positive indirect effect on grain yield/plant via days to 75% maturity and peduncle extrusion length in case of six rowed barley. Janardanam *et al.* (2002), Mahto *et al.* (2003), Dadashi *et al.* (2010), Carpc and Celk (2012) and Yadav *et al.* (2014) have also identified biological yield/plant and harvest index as most important yield contributing traits which merit due consideration at the time of devising selection strategy aimed at developing high yielding varieties in barley. The residual effect 0.0814 in case of two rowed barley and 0.0726 in

161 six rowed barley indicate that the component characters under study were responsible for about  
162 92% and 93% respectively, of variability in grain yield/plant.

## 163 CONCLUSION

164 Positive and significant correlations of grain yield/plant were recorded with biological  
165 yield/plant, number of grains/spike, number of tillers/plant and 1000 seed weight for both the  
166 two rowed as well as six rowed barley genotypes. Path coefficient analysis revealed that all the  
167 characters have direct and positive association with grain yield/plant except peduncle extrusion  
168 length which had negative direct effect in case of two rowed barley. While for the six rowed  
169 barley, the characters viz. biological yield/plant, harvest index, number of tillers/plant, days to  
170 75% flowering and peduncle extrusion length have positive direct effects on grain yield/plant.  
171 The results of correlation and path coefficient analysis indicated that the traits like biological  
172 yield/plant, harvest index, number of tillers/plant and 1000 seed weight should be given due  
173 consideration while performing selection for grain yield in segregating generations of barley and  
174 in the prediction of possible merits for genetic recombination and would also be of value in  
175 formulating model plant type for selection in segregating generations.

## 176 REFERENCES

- 177 Al-Tabbal, J.A. & Al-Fraihat, A.H. (2012) Genetic variation, heritability, phenotypic and  
178 genotypic correlation studies for yield and yield components in promising barley  
179 genotypes. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, **4**(3), 193-210.
- 180 Amin, M.R., Barma, N.C. & Razzague, M.A. (1992) Variability, heritability, genetic advance  
181 and correlation study in some quantitative characters in durum wheat. *Rachis News*  
182 *Lett.*, **11**, 30-32.
- 183 Blum, A., Golan, G., Mayer, J., Sinmena, B., Shpiler, L. & Burra, J. (1989) The drought  
184 response of landraces of wheat from the northern negev desert in Israel. *Euphytica*, **4**,  
185 87-96.
- 186 Carpc, E.B. & Celk, N. (2012) Correlation and path coefficient analyses of grain yield and yield  
187 components in two-rowed of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* convar. distichon) varieties.  
188 *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, **4**, 128-131.
- 189 Dadashi, M., Norinia, A.A., Morteza-Asghar, M. & Azizi-Chakhrchaman, S. (2010) Evaluate the  
190 correlation a number of physiological and morphological characteristics of naked barley  
191 varieties with grain yield. *Journal of Eco-physiology of crop plants and weeds*, **15**, 29-  
192 40.
- 193 Dewey, D.R. & Lu, K.H. (1959) A correlation and path co-efficient analysis of components of  
194 created wheat seed production. *Agron. Jour.*, **51**, 515-518.
- 195 Edgerton, M.R. (2009) Increasing crop productivity to meet global needs for feed, food and fuel.  
196 *Plant Physiology*, **149**, 7-13.

- 197 Gashaw, A., Mohammed, H. & Singh, H. (2007) Selection criterion for improved grain yields in  
198 Ethiopian durum wheat genotypes. *African Crop Science Journal*, **15**(1), 25-31.
- 199 Gebeyehou, G., Knott, D.R. & Baker, R.J. (1982) Rate and duration of grain filling in durum  
200 wheat cultivars. *Crop Sci.*, **22**, 337-340.
- 201 Gravois, K.A. & Helms, R.S. (1992) Path analysis of rice yield and yield components as affected  
202 by seeding rate. *Agronomy Journal*, **84**, 1-4.
- 203 Janardanam, V., Nadarajan, N. & Jebaraj, S. (2002) Correlation and path analysis in rice (*Oryza*  
204 *sativa* L.). *Madras Agril. Jour.*, **88**(10-12), 719-720.
- 205 Jayasudha, S. & Sharma, D. (2010) Genetic parameters of variability, correlation and path  
206 coefficient for grain yield and physiological traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under  
207 shallow lowland situation. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **1**(5), 1332-1338.
- 208 Kishor, R.L., Pandey, D.D. & Verma, S.K. (2000) Genetic variability and character association  
209 in hull-less barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Crop Research*, **19**(2), 241-244.
- 210 Kumar, B., Singh, C. M. and Jaiswal, K. K. 2013. Genetic variability, association and diversity  
211 studies in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Bioscan*. **8**(1): 143-147.
- 212 Lodhi, R., Prasad, L.C., Madakemohekar, A.H., Bornare, S.S. & Prasad, R. (2015) Study of  
213 genetic parameters for yield and yield contributing trait of elite genotypes of barley  
214 (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Indian Res. Jour. Genet. & Biotech.*, **7**(1), 17-21.
- 215 Mahto, R.N., Yadava, M.S. & Mohan, K.S. (2003) Genetic variation, character association and  
216 path analysis in rainfed upland rice. *Indian Jour. Dryland Agric. Res. and Devel.*, **18**(2),  
217 196-198.
- 218 Mishra, L.K. & Verma, R.K. (2002) Correlation and path analysis for morphological and quality  
219 traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Archives*, **2**(2), 275-284.
- 220 Najeeb, S. and Wani, S.A. (2004) Correlation and path analysis studies in barley (*Hordium*  
221 *vulgare* L.). *National journal of Plant Improvement*, **6**(2), 124-125.
- 222 Ogunbayo, S.A., Ojo, D.K., Guei, R.G., Oyelakin, O.O. & Sanni, K.A. (2005) Phylogenetic  
223 diversity and relationships among 40 rice accessions using morphological and RAPDs  
224 techniques. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **4**(11), 1234-1244.
- 225 Ozturk, I., AVC, R. & Kahraman, T. (2007) Determination of some barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)  
226 varieties on yield and yield components with some quality traits growing in Trakya  
227 region. *Ziraat fokutesi Dergisi Research Article Uludag Univ.*, **21**(1), 59-68.
- 228 Pal, S., Singh, T. & Ramesh, B. (2010) Estimation of genetic parameters in barley (*Hordeum*  
229 *vulgare* L.). *Crop Improvement*, **37**(1), 52-56.
- 230 Potla, K. R., Bornare, S. S., Prasad, L. C., Prasad, R. and Madakemohekar, A. H. 2013. Study of  
231 heterosis and combining ability for yield and yield contributing traits in barley  
232 (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *The Bioscan*. **8**(4): 1231-1235.

- 233 Pratap, N., Singh, P.K., Shekhar, R.S., Soni, K. & Mall, A.K. (2012) Genetic variability,  
234 character association and diversity analyses for economic traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).  
235 *SAARC Jour. Agri.*, **10**(2), 83-94.
- 236 Searle, S.R. (1961) Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlations. *Biometrics*, **17**, 474-  
237 480.
- 238 Singh, J., Prasad, L.C., Madakemohekar, A.H. & Bornare, S.S. (2014) Genetic variability and  
239 character association in diverse genotypes of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *The bioscan*,  
240 **9**(2), 759-761.
- 241 Singh, S.K., Sirohi, A., Kerkhi, S.A., Singh, O., Kumar, V., Singh, A., Singh, S.P. & Singh, R.P.  
242 (2008) Genetic variability in components for grain yield in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*  
243 L.). *Environ. and Ecol.*, **26**, 2379-2381.
- 244 Van Oosteron, E.J. & Acevedo, E. (1992) Adaptation of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) to harsh  
245 Mediterranean environments. *Euphytica*, **62**, 29-38.
- 246 Yadav, S.K., Pandey, P., Kumar, B. & Suresh, B.G. (2011) Genetic architecture,  
247 interrelationship and selection criteria for yield improvement in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.).  
248 *Pak. Jour. Biol. Sci.*, **14**, 540-545.
- 249 Yadav, S.K., Pawar, K.K., Baghel, S.S., Jarman, M. & Singh, A.K. (2014) Genetic analysis for  
250 grain yield and its components in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Journal of Wheat*  
251 *Research*, **6**(2), 163-166.
- 252 Zahid, M.A., Akhter, M., Sabar, M., Zaheen, M. & Awan, T. (2006) Correlation and path  
253 analysis studies of yield and economic traits in basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Asian*  
254 *Journal of Plant Sciences*, **5**(4), 643-645.