

Review Article

Adopted Methods for Tracking Maximum Power Point in Solar Photovoltaic Systems

ABSTRACT

This paper gives an overview and classification of modern methods maximum power point tracking (MPPT) for the solar photovoltaic (PV) systems. Advantages and disadvantages of each method are described and compared. Many maximum power point tracking techniques for photovoltaic systems have been developed to maximize the produced energy. These techniques vary in many aspects as: simplicity, speed of convergence, fast dynamic response, range of effectiveness. The MPPT methods can be classified into three broad categories: indirect, direct and hybrid methods.

Keywords: Maximum Power Point Tracking, indirect, direct and hybrid methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

Photovoltaic (PV) energy is one of the most important renewable energy sources. As opposed to the conventional not renewable sources such as gasoline, coal, etc. solar energy are clean, inexhaustible and free [1-4]. The output of a photovoltaic system depends very much upon the weather conditions, i.e. solar irradiation, temperature and shading effects due to clouds. These parameters in PV power system never remain constant, instead kept on changing at each instant. But after a lot human effort and research [5-8]. The maximum power point (MPP) is the point at which system has the highest possible efficiency. In one weather condition, there can only be one operating point in the system that can give the optimal maximum efficiency. Therefore, to track this point in the system is very important in order to increase the system efficiency. Particularly, the system having any kind of converter needs MPPT in order to make sure that it deliver maximum power to the other side. PV array characteristics are nonlinear and greatly depend upon weather condition [8-15].

2. MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING

MPPT (Maximum Power Point Tracking) function is to regulate the DC (Direct Current) output voltage or current in such a way that the maximum possible power can be obtained, with respect to any changes in weather conditions. The behavior of an illuminated solar cell can be characterized by an I-V curve. Interconnecting several solar cells in series or in parallel merely increases the overall voltage and/or current, but does not change the shape of the I-V curve Fig. 1a. The operating point should such correspond to the maximum of the (P-V) curve, which is called the maximum power point (M_{PP}) shown in Fig. 1b [1-4].




**Fig. 1 The I-V characteristics of solar cells under varying sunlight a)
The maximum power point (M_{PP}) of the P-V curve b)**

Therefore, for understanding the concept of MPPT, it is sufficient to consider the I-V curve of a solar cell. The P-V curve is dependent on the module irradiance Fig. 2a and temperature Fig. 2b. In case, a decreasing irradiance leads to a decreased power and slightly decreased voltage, as illustrated in Fig. 2a and increase in temperature is accompanied by a decrease in the open circuit voltage value. Increase in temperature causes increase in the band gap of the material and thus more energy are required to cross this barrier. Thus the efficiency of the solar cell is reduced, Fig. 2b. Shows that an increasing temperature leads to a decreased power and has a detrimental effect on the decreased voltage [4-10].




**Fig. 2. Impact of factors on power-voltage characteristics of a PV array:
a - irradiation; b - temperature**

For a given irradiance and temperature, the operating point corresponds to a unique (I,V) pair which lies on to the I-V curve. If the irradiance or temperature change, the I-V and the P-V characteristics will change as well and hence the position of the M_{PP} will shift. The location of the MPP is not known but can be traced by using MPPT methods to maintain the PV array's operating point at its M_{PP} . The MPPT methods can be classified into three broad categories: indirect methods, direct methods and hybrid methods [4-7].

2.1 Indirect Methods

In indirect methods usually the physical values of the PV panel are used to generate the control signals [5,7]. These methods are based on the calculation of the position of MPP with the help of the current-voltage characteristics database of photovoltaic panels for different levels of illumination and temperature, or on the use of mathematical functions on the basis of the previously obtained empirical data. The methods of this group include: open circuit voltage coefficient (OCVF) and short circuit current coefficient (SCCF) [4-7,9,14-15].

2.1.1 Open Circuit Voltage coefficient (OCVF) Method

This method is one of the simplest indirect methods which uses the approximately linear relationship between the open circuit voltage (V_{oc}) and the maximum power point voltage (V_{mp}) under different environmental conditions as described by the follow in the equation [2,4-5,7,9,14-15]:

$$K_V = V_{mp} / V_{oc} \quad (1)$$

Where K_V is coefficient of the open circuit voltage, which depends on the solar cell characteristics. This coefficient is empirically derived based on measurement of the V_{oc} and V_{mp} under different environmental conditions [4-5,7,9,14-15]. In each successive stage as M_{PP} is tracked, this value of V_{mp} which is chosen as the set point is assumed to remain relatively constant over a wide range of temperature and irradiance values. The OCVF flowchart is shown below in Fig. 3. In spite of the relative ease of implementation and low costs, this method suffers from two major disadvantages. First, the MPP may not be tracked accurately. Second, measurement of V_{oc} requires periodic shedding of the load, which may interfere with circuit operation and will cause more power losses. The open circuit voltage (V_{oc}), at the power generated is equal zero.




Fig. 3. Flow chart of the OCVF

2.1.2 Short Circuit Current coefficient (SCCF) Method

To track the power, this MPPT technique requires the value of SCC by isolating the PV array. This method represents an indirect approach, which is relatively similar to the OCVF method. The short circuit current (I_{sc}) is based on the measurement of the PV module SCC when its output voltage is equal to zero, and the PV module maximum output current at MPP (I_{mp}), is linearly proportional to (I_{sc}), which can be described by the following equation [4-5,7,9,14-15].

$$K_I = I_{mp} / I_{sc} \quad (2)$$

Where K_I is a coefficient of the open circuit voltage that can be calculated from the PV curve. The SCCF flowchart is shown below in Fig. 4.




Fig. 4. Flow chart of the SCCF

While the SCCF method is more accurate and efficient than the OCVF method [2,4]. With a boost converter is used, where the switch in the converter itself can be used to apply a short circuit to the PV array. An improvement similar to that proposed above for the OCVF method can be applied to the SCCF method. In particular, the Short Circuit Current (I_{sc}), at the power generated is equal zero.

2.2 Direct Methods

The direct methods are based on the instantaneous measurements of voltage and current values and the use of these measurements for calculation of the MPP position [5,7]. The methods of this group include: the differentiation method, perturbation and observation (P&O) method, incremental conductance (IC) method and hill climbing (HC) method [1-5].

2.2.1 Perturbation And Observation (P&O) Method

P&O method is one of the most frequently used algorithms to track the maximum power due to its simple structure and fewer required parameters. This method finds the maximum power point of PV modules by means of iteratively perturbing, observing and comparing the power generated by the PV modules. It is widely applied to the maximum power point tracker of the photovoltaic system for its features of simplicity and convenience [2-6,8,10-15].

Shown in Fig. 5 is the Perturb and Observe (P&O), which described the relationship between the terminal voltage and output power generated by a PV module. It can be observed that regardless of the magnitude of sun irradiance and the terminal voltage of PV modules, the maximum power point is obtained while the condition $dP/dV = 0$ is accomplished.

The slope (dP/dV) of the power can be calculated by the consecutive output voltages and output currents, and can be expressed as follows,

$$\frac{dP}{dV}(k) = \frac{P(k) - P(k-1)}{V(k) - V(k-1)} \quad (3)$$

Where

$$P(k) = V(k) \cdot I(k) \quad (4)$$

It can also be seen from Fig. 5. That voltage corresponding to M_{PP} called V_{mp} and current I called I_{mp} . These values at STC are available in the data sheet of every PV module. The difference among the selected three MPPT algorithms is the method used to meet the condition [2-6,8,10-15].




Fig. 5. Perturb and Observe (P & O) Method

The variations of the output voltage and power before and after changes are then observed and compared to be the reference for increasing or decreasing the load in the next step.

If the perturbation in this time results in greater output power of PV modules than that before the variation, the output voltage of PV modules will be varied toward the same direction. Otherwise, if the output power of PV modules is less than that before variation, it indicates that the varying direction in the next step should be changed. The maximum output power point of a PV system can be obtained by using these iterative Perturbation, Observation and comparison steps. The advantages of the P&O method are simple structure, easy implementation and less required parameters. The shortcomings of the P&O method can be summarized:

(a) The power tracked by the P&O method will oscillate and perturb up and down near the maximum power point. The magnitude of the oscillations is determined by the magnitude of variations of the output voltage.

(b) There is a misjudgment phenomenon for the P&O method when weather conditions change rapidly [5-7].

The algorithm is illustrated in the flowchart shown in Fig. 6. The PV-output voltage (V_k) and PV output current (I_k) are sensed. The power is calculated (P_k) and compared with the power value calculated from the Previous sample (P_{k-1}) in order to get ΔP_k . If the results of ΔP_k is zero the system is working at M_{PP} . Otherwise and according to the sign of ΔP_k and to the sign of ΔV_k the command voltage to control the duty cycle D of the converter (let's say the perturbation), will be decreased or increased in order to force the working point of the PV module towards the MPP [2-6,8,10-15].




Fig. 6. Flowchart of Perturb and Observe (P & O)

2.2.2 Incremental Conductance (IC) Method

The theory of the incremental conductance method is to determine the variation direction of the terminal voltage for PV modules by measuring and comparing the incremental conductance and instantaneous conductance of PV modules [1-9,11-12,14-15]. If the value of incremental conductance (dI/dV) is equal to that of instantaneous conductance ($-I/V$), it represents that the maximum power point is found. The basic theory is illustrated by Fig.6. When the operating behavior of PV modules is within the constant current area, the output power is proportional to the terminal voltage [1-9,11-12,14-15].

That means the output power increases linearly with the increasing terminal voltage of PV modules (slope of the power curve is positive, $dP/dV > 0$). When the operating point of PV modules passes through the maximum power point, its operating behavior is similar to constant voltage.

Therefore, the output power decreases linearly with the increasing terminal voltage of PV modules (slope of the power curve is negative, $dP/dV < 0$).

When the operating point of PV modules is exactly on the maximum power point, the slope of the power curve is zero ($dP/dV = 0$) and can be further expressed as,

$$\frac{dP}{dV} = \frac{d(VI)}{dV} = I \frac{dV}{dV} + V \frac{dI}{dV} = I + V \frac{dI}{dV} \quad (6)$$

By the relationship of $dP/dV = 0$, Eq. (6) can be rearranged as follows Eq. (7),

$$\frac{dI}{dV} = -\frac{I}{V} \quad (7)$$

dI and dV represent the current error and voltage error before and after the increment respectively. The maximum power point (operating voltage is V_m) can be found when

$$\left(\frac{dI}{dV}\right)_{V=V_m} = \left(-\frac{I}{V}\right)_{V=V_m}. \quad (8)$$

When the equation in Eq. (7) comes into existence, the maximum power point is tracked by MPPT system. However, the following situations will happen while the operating point is not on the maximum power point:

$$\frac{dI}{dV} > -\frac{I}{V}; \left(\frac{dP}{dV} > 0\right). \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{dI}{dV} < -\frac{I}{V}; \left(\frac{dP}{dV} < 0\right). \quad (10)$$

Equations (9) and (10) are used to determine the direction of voltage perturbation when the operating point moves toward the maximum power point [10]. In the process of tracking, the terminal voltage of PV modules will continuously perturb until the condition of Eq. (7) Comes into existence. Fig. 7 is the operating flow diagram of the incremental conductance algorithm.

From the flow diagram shown in Fig. 8, it can be observed that the weather conditions don't change and the operating point is located on the maximum power point when $dV = 0$ and $dI = 0$.

If $dV = 0$ but $dI > 0$, it represents that the sun irradiance increases and the voltage of the maximum power point rises. Meanwhile, the maximum power point tracker has to raise the operating voltage of PV modules in order to track the maximum power point. On the contrary, the sun irradiance decreases and the voltage of the maximum power point reduces if $dI < 0$. At this time the maximum power point tracker needs to reduce the operating voltage of PV modules. Furthermore, when the voltage and current of PV modules change during a voltage perturbation and $dI/dV > -I/V$ ($dP/dV > 0$), the operating voltage of PV modules is located on the left side of the maximum power point in the P-V diagram, and has to be raised in order to track the maximum power point.

If $dI/dV < -I/V$ ($dP/dV < 0$), the operating voltage of PV modules will be located on the right side of the maximum power point in the P-V diagram, and has to be reduced in order to track the maximum power point [1-9,11-12,14-15].




Fig. 7. Incremental Conductance (IncCond) method




Fig. 8. Flowchart of incremental conductance (IncCond) method

2.2.3 Hill Climbing (HC) Method

The most common MPPT method in space applications is the hill climbing method owing to its high precision, simple structure, direct investigation of power, high reliability, and independence from sensors such as radiation and temperature sensors [11,14]. This method has three major disadvantages:

Firstly tracking local peaks of the solar array voltage-power curve, secondly oscillations around the MPP and thirdly low speed.




Fig. 9. P-D curve diagram of the hill climbing method

The difference among the selected three MPPT algorithms is the method used to meet the condition.

$$\begin{aligned} dP/dD > 0 & \text{ (Left hand side of } M_{PP}); \\ dP/dD < 0 & \text{ (Right hand side of } M_{PP}); \\ dP/dD = 0 & \text{ (At } M_{PP}). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The hill climbing method uses the duty cycle (D) of these switching mode power interface devices as the judging parameter when the task of the maximum power point tracking is implemented []. When the condition $dP/dD = 0$ is accomplished, it represents that the maximum power point has been tracked. The flow diagram of the hill climbing algorithm is shown in figure (9) [11,14].

The duty cycle in every sampling period is determined by the comparison of the power at the present time and previous time. If the incremental power $dP > 0$, the duty cycle should be increased in order to make $dD > 0$. If $dP < 0$, the duty cycle is then reduced to make $dD < 0$.




Fig. 10. Flowchart of the hill climbing method

3.2 Hybrid Method

The Hybrid methods, which are those that combine two different broad categories, each categorie consists of one or two methods; the indirect and direct methods are used to get a quick approximation to the M_{PP} whereas the online method is used to improve the result [2,5,7,9,14-15].

4. CONCLUSION

The purpose of this paper is to study and compare advantages, disadvantages and execution efficiency of several MPPT methods. These methods have been classified into three categories: indirect, direct and hybrid methods. It is important to observe that when the PV panel is in low insulation conditions. The P&O algorithm has well regulated PV output voltage than a hill climbing algorithm. However, the perturbation magnitude of the hill climbing method will be getting smaller under the condition of having similar oscillations of PV output voltage. This causes a longer simulation elapsed time for the hill climbing method to track the maximum power point. Therefore, P&O algorithm possesses faster dynamic response than a hill climbing algorithm. The incremental conductance method has advantages of exact perturbing and tracking direction and steady maximum power operating voltage. However, the other two methods have the possibility of misjudgment of determining the perturbing and tracking direction. Therefore, the incremental conductance method is more competitive than the other two methods in the PV system which uses.

The indirect methods, including open circuit voltage coefficient (OCVF) method, short circuit current coefficient (SCCF) method. The SCCF method is more accurate and efficient than the OCVF method.

258 REFERENCES

- 259 1. Adedayo M. Farayola, Ali N. Hasan. Comparison of Modified Incremental Conductance and
260 Fuzzy Logic MPPT Algorithm Using Modified CUK Converter. Renewable Energy Congress
261 (IREC), 2017 8th International. DOI: 10.1109/IREC.2017.7926029.
- 262 2. Abdelhakim Belkaid, Jean-Paul Gaubert. Design and implementation of a high performance
263 technique for tracking PV peak power. IET Renewable Power Generation
264 Volume:11, Issue: 1, 1 11 2017 . DOI: 10.1049/iet-rpg.2016.0023.
- 265 3. Ben Mahmoud Z and Ramouda M. A comparative study of four widely-adopted MPPT
266 techniques for PV power systems. Control Engineering & Information Technology (CEIT), 2016
267 4th International Conference. DOI: 10.1109/CEIT.2016.7929090
- 268 4. Ali, M. Jasim, Yu. A. Shepetov. Methods of photovoltaic power control mode. Aerospace
269 Engineering and Technology (Ukr.) – 2015. – №2. – pp. 51 – 57.
- 270 5. Javier, C. Accurate Simulation of MPPT Methods Performance When Applied to Commercial
271 Photovoltaic Panels. Journal of Scientific World. – 2015. Volume 2015, Article ID 914212, 16 p.
- 272 6. Koutroulis, E. Overview of Maximum Power Point Tracking Techniques for Photovoltaic Energy
273 Production Systems. Journal of Electric Power Components and Systems Volume. – 2015. – №
274 43(12). – pp. 1329 – 1351.
- 275 7. Eduardo Moreira Vicente, Robson Luiz Moreno. MPPT Technique Based on Current and
276 Temperature Measurements. International Journal of Photoenergy. Volume 2015 (2015), Article
277 ID 242745, 9 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/242745>
- 278 8. Bouselham L, Hajji B. Comparative study of different MPPT methods for photovoltaic
279 system. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Conference (IRSEC), 2015 3rd International
280 DOI: 10.1109/IRSEC.2015.7455085
- 281 9. Satyananda Sarangi. Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) – A Review on Innovative
282 Algorithms. International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and
283 Instrumentation Engineering. Vol. 4, Issue 2, February 2015.
- 284 10. Banaei, M. R. MPPT control of photovoltaic using SEPIC converter to reduce the input
285 current ripples. Journal of Engineering Research and Applications. – 2014. – №4(1). – pp. 1257
286 – 1268
- 287 11. Dash, S.K. and Deepak V. Comparative analysis of maximum power point (MPP) tracking
288 techniques for solar PV application using MATLAB, Simulink IEEE Conference Recent
289 Advances and Innovations in Engineering (ICRAIE). – 2014. pp. 1 – 7, DOI: 10.1109/
290 ICRAIE.2014.6909110
- 291 12. Jeddi, N. and Ouni L. El Amraoui Comparative study of MPPT techniques for PV control
292 systems. Electrical Sciences and Technologies in Maghreb (CISTEM), 2014 International
293 Conference. – 2014. – № 4(12). – pp. 1 – 7.
- 294 13. Haque, A. Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) Scheme for Solar Photovoltaic System
295 Energy Technology & Policy (2014) 1, pp.115-122. DOI: 10.1080/23317000.2014.979379
- 296 14. Subudhi, B. and Pradhan, R. A Comparative Study on Maximum Power Point Tracking
297 Techniques for Photovoltaic Power Systems IEEE Transactions on sustainable energy, vol. 4,
298 no.1, Jan 2013. pp. 89-98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSTE.2012.2202294>
- 299 15. Yu-Chung Ting and Yu-Cheng Lin. A study of maximum power point tracking algorithms for
300 stand-alone Photovoltaic System. Applied Power Electronics Colloquium (IAPEC). 2011 IEEE.
301 DOI: 10.1109/IAPEC.2011.5779863
- 302