



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_37455
Title of the Manuscript:	Land evaluation for site specific pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) - sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) management - A case Study of Mormanchi Microwatershed, Gulbarga District, Karnataka, India
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The only serious detail, which I believe compromises the quality of work, is found in the coefficient of variation of the data. These parameters do not show this variability. I believe that a descriptive statistical analysis, applying the box plot , can help in the identification and exclusion of outliers in order to improve these results, which affect the construction of the graphs.	Box plot diagram of different soil properties (mean, maximum, minimum $\pm$ SD) have shown in figure. 6.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The work is very well written. The authors were able to establish well the procedures for achieving the objectives.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		