



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_37312
Title of the Manuscript:	The state of farm raised catfish consumption in Ghana. A Case of the Ashanti Region
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	▪ Rewrite the abstract with concise message. ▪ Introduction is to the level but the last paragraph is not performing the message of the findings. ▪ Not necessary to write review of literature (2.0 and 3.0) not necessarily in separate section. ▪ Methodology is well explained but subtitles are not relevant. ▪ Results and discussions were explained by considering statistical passages of version 20 and regression analysis were undertaken to give correlations but subtitles are more in number and are not necessary. ▪ Tables represented here are not to the extent and captions must rewrite to carry simple meaning. ▪ The entire manuscript is with poor language and grammatically also poor and hence it is suggested that rewrite the entire manuscript with the help of English experts. ▪ To write the research papers the authors must refer various respective journals. ▪ References must be according to the guidelines of the journal. ▪ Check the references present in the text and in the reference section. ▪ Write the acknowledgement and is necessary those who were funded for the research work. ▪ With all these major corrections it may be sent to chief editor.	• Abstract has been edited • Last part of introduction has been edited • Section 2 (line 87) was relabelled as aquaculture and fish consumption • Some of the subtitles have been merged • Some captions of tables has been edited • Manuscript has been proof read and errors corrected • All papers quoted were referenced in the study • Referencing is in accordance with the journal format. • There was no funding for the research work. Acknowledgement was provided for those who participated in the data collection.
Minor REVISION comments	-----	
Optional/General comments	-----	