



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_37310
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of HACCP safety system and good manufacturing practices in a multi-product soft drink bottling plant
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The changes that need to be made are mentioned in the article. 1. Lines 3 and 4 – middle of the text 2. Line 99 – replace 'chart with diagram' 3. Line 115 – Unbold figure 1 legend 4. Line 172 Mention '147 questions' 5. Line 199 – replace 'charts with diagrams' 6. Line Rephrase' The findings indicate good sanitation status of the bottling equipment' 7. Line 212- pull data forward 8. Line 216 – Correct CO₂ 9. Lines 224-236- Lean both sides or justify 10. Lines 320 – Replace 'captured' 11. Line 329 – change '1' to roman numeral 12. Lines 353-354- lean on both sides or justify 13. Line 396 - '1' and '2' to roman numeral 14. Line 400 – write sentence clearly 15. Lines 431-436 - Lean both sides or justify 16. Line 442- capitalise 'conclusions'	1. I have used middle of text as advised. I am happy for any arrangement preferred during type setting 2. Replaced 3. Bold removed 4. Now linked with original reference 5. Replaced with records 6. Rephrased as advised 7. Data pulled forward in table 8. Now CO₂ 9. Done 10. 'captured' replaced with 'covered' 11. Changed 12. Done. Some gaps show. I believe this will be corrected automatically during typesetting 13. Changed 14. Sentence re-written 15. Done 16. capitalised
Optional/General comments	Article written with care. But, I think that 147 questions should be mentioned in the article.	Now linked with original reference. The 147 questions are already listed in the reference used. Once this paper is published the raw data showing how the questions were answered will be placed in a public data repository like Mendeley and then linked publicly to this article. Thank you for reviewing