



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36814
Title of the Manuscript:	Field Evaluation of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Formulations on Production Performance of Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) cv. Kufri Sindhuri
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- There are too many grammatical errors almost in every line. The manuscript should undergo thorough grammatical editing. - The manuscript has failed to highlight the problem to justify the study - The objectives of the study is completely lacking - The methodology is poorly described	Recommended modifications have been performed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The discussion is generally very weak; the manuscript can do with more citations.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		