



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36796
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of newly introduced exotic plum cultivars for the inherent genetic stuff
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	An assessment of cultivars phenological relations using a principal components analysis will of interest for cultivars clustering and the relationship among them using data of the table 2, 3, 4 and 5.	Corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 64: objective to characterize the different phenological Line 88: was determined by taken the number Line 133: Gonez-Pleza and Ledbetter [9] stated that Line 140: cultivars were also reported by Jovancevic [27] and Milosevic and Milosevic [28] Line 143: been observed by [10,11]: need to be written has above line 140. Line 145: and agro-climatic conditions of the specific location [12]. Line 161-162: [13] in cherry, [14] in peach and [15] also reported: as above Line 164: findings of [16] and [17] who: as above Line 182, 186, 190, as above Line 183-185: #Higher fruit drop in.....fruit drop leading to lower yield.# any proof of that? Line 221: reported by different workers [5,26] Line 244: Journal of Applied Horticulture 19991; (1):48-50 Line 260. Zarrinbald M.. Line 273 and 276: references need formatting regard to others and journal requirements	Corrected.
Optional/General comments	It is an interesting study with also well-designed experiment and written manuscript. However better cultivar assessment would be if a multiple location trials could be appropriate to assess each cultivar at genetic level by removing the location and fertility status of the soil (as state in the line 167, also line 195, line 229-231). Better indicate for selection of optimal cultivar for release or proposal of the cultivar to breeders.	