



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36740
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints Perceived by Indigenous Technical Knowledge practioners in the hilly regions of Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Check spelling of practioners Introduction: Put some recent references related with constraints studies of ITK Reference: Refer journal reference pattern. Reference no. indicated in text and its detail in reference section varies. Maintain uniform reference writing style. Add some recent reference and include it in text also. Lack uniformity...Eg. Bizimana Nseknye (1997), Mondal, T. and S, Biswas(2012). , Tabuti, J.R.S., S.S. Dhillion and K.A. Lye, (2003). , Mathias ME & McCorkle CM(1989).	Respected sir/ madam, I have gone through your corrections and suggestions. i have made changes according to your guidance. I have put recent refrences related to study in the paper and has also brought uniformity in refrence writing style as adviced by you . Thanks for your suggestions.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		