



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36682
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF INCOMPLETE POLLINATION ON THE PHYSICAL QUALITY ATTRIBUTES OF APPLE (<i>Malus × domestica</i> Borkh.) CV. RED DELICIOUS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The research was too simple and measured too little quality index. The conclusion obtained from this research can be imagined from general knowledge of pomology. Pollination can facilitate seed formation and hormones concluding auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins are necessary for fruit cell division and cell enlargement.	You are right sir Going through the literature can help us in predicting the result but sir experimentation is about conformation.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The references were old. There were almost no new articles recited . 2. Some description is inconsistent in the manuscript. 3. Only keep table or figure if the data are same. For Table 1 and Figure 1, Table 3 and Figure 2, Figure 3.	1. As far as references are concerned i tried my best to incorporate recent references but hardly got some. 2. Checked 3. Okay sir
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		