



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36138
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient removal evaluation using the ASM2d model
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In this paper I found that the methods used to explore the issue are appropriate and it provides insight into an important issue. But, I have three point should be modified: 1. The figures are not complete or are not clear enough to read. 2. The article does not confirm to the Guide for Authors for the journal it is submitted to. 3. References are incomplete or very old (the most recent only one at 2013).	Thanks for your comments. I modified the paper accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<u>I accept publication after modification.</u>	