



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36045
Title of the Manuscript:	Meat Hygiene and Associated Health Hazards Awareness among Consumers of Jammu District of Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In line 16 the author(s) mentioned standard deviation as part of the statistical analysis carried out, but none of the tables and chart hard standard deviation. Table 17 and Figure 3 is conveying the same information. Please remove one	Standard deviation as part of the statistical analysis has being used during data manipulation and has being used for classification of respondents on the basis of means and standard deviation. The value of standard deviation has being calculated in Excel sheet for classification and it is not necessary to mention values in text . e.g. see text under age variables Age Respondents were categorized into three groups on the basis of mean and standard deviation All figures have being replaced by tables
Optional/General comments		There are some statistical tools Like S.D, S.E,MPS etc whose values in table or text mention is upto researcher and it varies .It is for the convenience of data manipulation and for researcher convenience