



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_36045
Title of the Manuscript:	Meat Hygiene and Associated Health Hazards Awareness among Consumers of Jammu District of Jammu and Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	For the amount of information provided, the manuscript is too long. Lines 35/38 – Change now a day to nowadays. Line 40 – change till to until. Line 40 – explain and reformulate “it needs to be good enough”. The introduction in general lacks of references. Line 70 – change materials to material Lines 78/80 – change data was to data were. The Material and Methods should be better explained and detailed. Change along the manuscript table to Table. Either authors live the Tables or the text related to them. Due the length I suggest to keep the Figures and delete the most Tables except Table 13, better describe the text referred to Table 14. Lines 114/ 137/ 142/ 163/ 200/ 204/ 239/ 252/ 254/ 258/ 274/ 283/ 298/ 299/ 309/ 325/ 341/ 351 - correct punctuation/space between words. Paragraph line 147-152/ 171-175 – correct space between lines. Line 162 – change was to were Table 13 – put spaces between words, comma etc. Line 254 – change comma to dot. Figure 2: Did no one say they would “Avoid everything of animal origin”? I couldn't see the its bar in the graph.	Line 40: now it is written as Meat is a perishable commodity and therefore from production till consumption it needs to be free from diseases. In introduction references no 1, 18 19, WHO report, FAO, Codex Alimentarius are mention these itself are reference. Have corrected all table numbers, correct ed punctuation/space between words, corrected space between lines. Fig2. Avoid everything of animal origin the value is zero For confusions now I have replaced all figs with tables
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The authors should be more aware of punctuation and space. The lacks of those elements show lack of attention during writing.	I agree Your comments Actually lack of time busy in Ph.D research. But these are minor mistakes Table number error is due to change numbers system from thesis to specific research papers. Although I take every effort related to text portion