



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_35734
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE COST EFFECTIVENESS OF GROWTH AND REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF THE ARCHATINA ARCHATINA FED COMPOUNDED FEED AND NATURAL FEED MATERIALS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is very informative but the manuscript should follow the required format Abstract Introduction (justification and objective) Materials and method Results Discussion Conclusion References	Background to the study has been changed to introduction. As per the author, results and discussion can be merge or treated separately. In this study, they have been merge. As such, the study has clearly followed the guidelines
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		