



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_35186
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF CHARACTER ASSOCIATION IN BARLEY (<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.) GENOTYPES FOR YIELD AND YIELD RELATED TRAITS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 103: How can the negative correlation between days to 75% maturity and biological yield/plant be explained by this study or by previous authors who earlier reported it. Line 66, the authors should mention the recommended practices used because not every reader of this article knows the recommended practices for barley growing in India, or authors can give a reference for this statement	• Please read it carefully, Days to 75% maturity and biological yield/plant were negatively correlated with harvest index. • Included
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 7 and 66 authors should write year 2015 in full rather than 15 Line 18, key words are too many, atleast five words can sufficiently serve the purpose of key words Line 54 provide a reference for the statement 54-55 Line 71, "change respect of" to "respect to different" Line 230, the year 2013 should be in brackets in order to have a uniform referencing Line 232 there should be a comma after Bioscan,	Corrected Removed Added Changed All references have to be corrected as per journal format.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Atleast the authors should acknowledge the financial support from the funders of this research	No financial support was provided for the work. It was the part of research work for the completion of master degree.