



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_35100
Title of the Manuscript:	Modeling and Simulation of Hybrid Maximum Power Point Tracking for Solar Power System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Lines 7 – 18, abstract: Summary of results is missing in the abstract. Author should re-write the abstract in such away that the results/findings will be before the conclusions.	I'm agreed with reviewer. Correction in manuscript was performed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 7: focuses on the modelling and simulation. Line 18: None of the words in the title should be used as key word.	I'm agreed with reviewer. Correction in manuscript was performed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		