



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_34886
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating Effect of Zinc Content on the Mechanical and Corrosion Responses of Al6063-SiC Composite
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) Page 6, para:3.1, the last line is not finished. 2) Why impact strength decreased further when 10% Zinc was added? 3) Put a,b,c, d in Fig 4,5,6,7	1) The statement has been completed as required (see page 6 of the revised version with text in green colour) 2) The more reliable reason for that decrease has been described on page 6 of the revised version with text in green colour 3) This has been corrected as suggested as in pages 8 & 9.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) Make all the microstructural images of same size.	1) The observed images as illustrated in the microstructures have been made same size as much as possible.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1) The author should include more references.	Five more references citations (pages 1 & 3) and listings (References Nos. 2,12,19,23 and 23,,25 on Pages 13-15). The total number of relevant literatures cited and listed goes up 27.