



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34808
Title of the Manuscript:	Blanching time, effect on total phenolic, antioxidant activities and mineral content of selected Green Leafy Vegetables
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript needs minor revisions are - In page 1, the title of the paper needs to revise. - In page 2 line 39, Martijn, 1997 was not found in the references. - In page 7 line 140, Francisco et al., 2010 was not found in the references . - In page 8 line 161, (Fig.1) was not found in the paper. - In page 8 line 169, Korus and Lesiewska 2011, please check with page 12 line 244. - In page 10 line 205, Yakuba et al(2012) please check with page 13 line 279. - In page 11 line 243, correct chemistry to Chemistry . Also., in page 12 line 246, in page 13 lines 270 and 275.	Title of the paper revised. The references were included and Fig (1) has been included. All the references have been corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected
Optional/General comments		