



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34742
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Wide Band Unequal Cone Angle Biconical Antenna
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is not clear about the edge termination in Fig.1 and Fig.2 The biconical antenna, feedline and edge termination have to be given in a configuration and the sizes in table 1 has to be denoted in the configuration.	<i>Fig.1 is without ring termination and Fig.2 is with ring termination and is made visible now. The dimensions in table 1 cannot be printed in the figures as these are generated in CST software after modelling to the exact dimensions and such facility is not provided.</i>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Feed line is not described. In simulation what the feed line is should be stated.	<i>The appropriate feed for the biconical antenna or dipoles used in simulation is lumped port and the same is used in this simulation also.</i>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		