



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34742
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Wide Band Unequal Cone Angle Biconical Antenna
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Add more recently references	6-Recent papers are added
	2. In Fig.: 5 Radiation Pattern 3D at 7GHz and Fig. 6: Radiation Pattern 3D at 25GHz, no need to put the data with the chart Its better to but in table (type, approximation, monitor, component , output, frequency)	The data with the charts in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 is not added. The plots are generated after simulation in the CST software with the data and color bar.
	3. In paragraph 3 the analysis of the antenna no references cited	In paragraph 3 Reference [5] is added.
	4. Check the size of all figures	Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 are resized and increased their size
	5. Need to explain the parameter of equation	All the parameters of the equation are expanded
Optional/General comments		