SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_34630**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Determination of gillnet and hook selectivity for Caranx heberi captured off Kanyakumari coast of India**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Few technical problems still exist in the references and should be corrected. Some have comas while others had not. The figures 1,2 and other not easily readable, improve on the font size. There was no analysis of the treatments results for comparison.**	Necessary corrections were made in the References as suggested. The figures were enhanced and it will be legible while printing
Minor REVISION comments	Put the figures in order	Figures are in order
Optional/General comments		