



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34630
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of gillnet and hook selectivity for Caranx heberi captured off Kanyakumari coast of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	NOTE: Word(s) underlined should be deleted but any in bracket should be included	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Material & Method Paragraph 5 The software include Millar's SELECT(Share Each Length, change E to lower case) Log likelihood should be log likelihood Results and Discussion Paragraph 4 Estimated bi-modal selectivity curves and their parameters for both <u>the</u> gears under <u>both</u> the--- Paragraph 7 Modal lengths obtained through better and best fit models for both <u>the</u> gears----- Line 11 There was no great difference <u>was</u> shown---- Line 12 shown with log-normal interms of size(of) groups----- Reference McCullagh & Nelder 1989 not in the reference	As per the comments, all corrections are made in the MS Reference is included
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		