



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34567
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTI-OXIDANT ENZYME ACTIVITY OF SUGARCANE (<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.) GENOTYPES FOR MOISTURE STRESS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In this manuscript, the authors study the superoxide dismutase and peroxidase activity in eight genotypes in a poly bag experiment exposure to moisture stress for 20 days at formative stage at Agricultural Research Station, Basanthpur, Medak, India. It is good at expression and has novelty. However, there is some shortcoming to be complete. So I recommend to you that this manuscript should be revision. The following are the questions and some mistakes in this manuscript: 1 In the section of introduce, the authors should describe the purpose and meaning of this research. 2 In line 50, This is the first time you used DAP and i think you need to define it. 3 In the section of result and discussion, the authors just describe the result simply that they obtained and no further analysis to be made. 4 The manuscript was too short.	As per your comments we include the purpose to know about the antioxidant enzyme activity during stress conditions. And the fertilizer DAP (Di Ammonium phosphate is used for filling of pots which helps for the growth of the plant). Discussion part was added and the analysis was done which helps to make paper sound.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Thank you for your comments.