



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34414
Title of the Manuscript:	Automating behavior? An experimental Living Lab study on the effect of smart home systems and traffic light feedback on heating energy consumption
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I congratulate the authors for this new research and for the right choice of econometric tools. I would like to highlight a few remarks and suggestions: We want to have more information on the data and the methodology of their constitution. Subsections 2.1 and 2.2 have the same title "Data logging and interventions" There is a need to deepen the economic discussions on the results achieved, including the main policy recommendations. As a complement to this research, I suggest taking into account the energy efficiency of households and the rebound effect created by the use of energy innovation.	~~Not completely sure what is meant here.~~ A short part is added in subsection 2.1 (green) about the data logging. Thanks, it's corrected Is added in blue Is added as a recommendation for future research.
Optional/General comments		