



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34414
Title of the Manuscript:	Automating behavior? An experimental Living Lab study on the effect of smart home systems and traffic light feedback on heating energy consumption
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Simplify the discussion because this is first study and based on design and fabrication of device.	~~This study is not about the fabrication of devices.~~ ~~Which part of the discussion is meant, Quantitative or qualitative?~~ We added a part in the conclusions, which adds a rather broad perspective on energy efficient devices. <u>The discussion was simplified (see below).</u>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Simplify the discussion	~~See above~~ <u>The discussion and summary of qualitative and quantitative results was simplified (see manuscript).</u>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		