



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34406
Title of the Manuscript:	Adopted Methods for Tracking Maximum Power Point in Solar Photovoltaic Systems
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. in the abstract, the author said ".....modern methods maximum power....." something is missing 2. in 17, the word not should be changed to non 3. in line 20 and 21 the author said "But after a lot human effort and research [5-8]" what happen after those efforts? 4. your aim and objective was not captured in the introduction, please recast 5. so far it's a review work? More articles should be considered for this article. 6. the author should check the English proper.	1. I'm agreed with reviewer. Correction in manuscript was performed. 2. I'm agreed with reviewer. Correction in manuscript was performed. 3. I'm agreed with reviewer. Correction in manuscript was performed. 4. I'm agreed with reviewer. Correction in manuscript was performed. 5. I'm agreed with reviewer. Correction in manuscript was performed. Number of references is increased from 16 to 32. 6. I'm agreed with reviewer. Correction in manuscript was performed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		