



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34379
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of oil Pollution on Soil Properties along Pipeline Right of way at Osisioma Ngwa, Abia State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Few errors and showing care in the preparation. However, few corrections should be conducted to further improve the quality of the manuscript presented. The considerations are described below.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The considerations are: - Line 129: Put the reference:... Bouyoucos hydrometer method... - Lines 171 and 172: Put the word without abbreviate:... conc - Line 199: Remove the colon:... table: 1 - Line 203:... 5.53% and 5.93% in the topsoil... These data are the non-polluted site? If yes write. - Line 2015: Remove the colon:... table: 2...	The Bouyoucos hydrometer method has been referenced [13]. The word Concentrated has been put in full' The colon in table 1 has been removed. The data 5.53% and 5.93% are for the non-polluted site. It has been corrected. The colon in table 2 has been removed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Few corrections should be conducted.	