



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33643
Title of the Manuscript:	Supersalts Na₂AlX₅ (X = F, Cl): quantum chemical study of the structure, vibration spectra and thermodynamic properties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment This is a manuscript reporting interesting ideas and theoretical data. However, authors should: (a) Re-read their manuscript thoroughly and correct orthographical (many), punctuation (many), and syntax (less) errors; they should correct also the paragraph's gap etc. (b) Write and explain, in more details, the usefulness and the practical importance of their reported results and conclusions, in terms of the reality, which the experimenter Chemist is facing every day.	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> (a).Orthographical, punctuation and syntax errors : Corrections has been done (highlighted with yellow colour) as per reviewers comment. (b).Usefulness and the practical importance: An explanation has been given on the conclusion part (highlighted with yellow colour) as per reviewers comment.
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments Minor revision according to "Reviewer's comment"		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments According to "Reviewer's comment"		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		