



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                            |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</u></b>                                                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_BJAST_33111</b>                                                                                                      |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Implication of nanocomposite edible coating for self life extention of Indian olive (Elaeocarpus floribundus Blume)</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                                                                           |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**l a c k o f N o v e l t y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p><b>Line 2: self has to change shelf in the text as well.</b></p> <p><b>In the abstract it has to be written as whole paragraph, it is better not to divide and it has to give main results, not all of them. In the Abstract the results have to be given in academical language. 'The highest results were obtained in T3...'</b></p> <p><b>Section 2.1: Please write it more academically and formal, avoid daily language. Please clarify the storage conditions.</b></p> <p><b>Line 81: Could you please which method that you referred.</b></p> <p><b>Section 2.4: You don't need to give details about Anova, you can remove the explanation in line 90. Line 107/ 116: Show the significant differences on the table</b></p> <p><b>Line 142: Explain the abbreviations. It is not obvious what is the meaning for example: YGG146B</b></p> <p><b>Line 167/178/187/200: Please show significant</b></p> | <p>The word self is changed shelf in the text as well.</p> <p>Abstract is modified according the suggestions.</p> <p>Storage condition is clarified.</p> <p>The citation is given in titrable acidity measurement.</p> <p>Significant differences or critical differences (CD) is already given on the table.</p> <p>Abbreviations are explained in colour table section.</p> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   | <b>Line 32: Don` t repeat market as a verb</b><br><b>Line 41:.... Nanomaterials have</b><br><b>Line 67: heated in</b><br><b>Line 99: <del>the</del> it</b><br><b>Line 107/116: Explain the abbreviation (DAS: DAYS) Line 150: Please keep always at the same format for references, and the article is too old (1984)</b><br><b>Line 207/209: Please avoid the repetition of suggest.</b> | Grammertical or other word corrections are done.<br>Abbreviation of DAS is given.<br>Same formatting of reference is maintained.<br>Repetition of suggestion is avoided. |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                          |