



Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_33059
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensory acceptance and flavors variability of the inflorescence sap deriving from four widespread cultivars of coconut (Cocos nucifera L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	---------------------------	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript requires major English language copyediting. It is very hard to understand this manuscript because it was poorly written. That is, very serious English grammatical errors should be corrected. Also, the study was poorly designed. The study is unclear of any bias. For example, how were the tasting panelists chosen? Having tasting panelists to generate results for sensory acceptance and flavors is very subjective and is prone to errors and biases. There should be chemical experiments to assess these sensory acceptance and flavors. Further, there are numerous spelling errors that should be corrected.	OK. Thank you for this right comment. The manuscript has been deeply recasted to succeed in best readability. A lot of informations have been added throughout the full manuscript to fit scientifically improved document. Many writing errors have also been corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		