SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJAST_32421**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Design and Evaluation of Household Horizontal Slow Sand Filter**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The authors stated that the proposed household-scale HSSF is a low cost water treatment process, however, no economic analysis has been conducted. Kindly, remove this advantage, or perform an economic analysis, or add a reference for this information. **Support and compare the performance of your treatment system with relevant HSSF of previous researches.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		