



SDI Review Form 1.6

HG

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_32340
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Energy Content of the Municipal Solid Waste of Ado – Ekiti Metropolis, Southwest, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please cite the tables 1.1 and 1.2 in the text. In addition, comment the values presented in which table.</p> <p>Line 95 and Line 99: use Figure 1 instead of Plate 1.</p> <p>Section 1.1.2: please add some references in this section.</p> <p>Line 142: cite the author instead of the website</p> <p>Section 1.1.4: please add some references in this section.</p> <p>Please remove the figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 in pages 10 and 11.</p> <p>Section 2.1.2: please remove this three sentences:</p> <p>1)The weight of a clean crucible was tarred down to zero on the digital weighing balance.</p> <p>2)The sample identification and the corresponding mass was entered through the PC keyboard of the calorimeter prior to the determination of the energy content.</p> <p>3)On completion of the final phase, a final calorific value (CV) result was displayed on the screen of the calorimeter and stored. The mass and the</p>	<p>The tables are already cited in the text Please check</p> <p>In line 95 and 99 , figure 1 has been used to replace plate 1. Some references are added. to section :1.1.2</p> <p>.</p> <p>In line 142 the author's name are cited instead of the website. Please confirm.</p> <p>Figure 1,2,3 and 4 in pages 10 and 11 have been removed. Please YOU CAN CONFIRM.</p> <p>In section 2.1.2 all the three statements quoted are removed .)"The weight of a clean crucible was tarred down to zero on the digital weighing balance"</p> <p>2)"The sample identification and the corresponding mass was entered through the PC keyboard of the calorimeter prior to the determination of the energy content."</p> <p>3) "On completion of the final phase, a final calorific value (CV) result was displayed on the screen of the calorimeter and stored. The mass and the sample identification number were deleted".</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>sample identification number were deleted.</p> <p>Please presented the standard deviation for the values presented in Table 3.3, Table 3.4 and Figure 3.1.</p> <p>The authors must improve the discussion about the results. Please compared the obtained results with others from the literature. The results and discussion section must be deeply improved.</p> <p>Please remove this sentence from the conclusion section: 2. Waste which often creates a nuisance to the environment can be converted to wealth with appropriate technology.</p> <p>The discussion in section 4.1 must be included in the conclusion section.</p>	<p>The results and discussion have been improved.</p> <p>In section: 2 the statement “Waste which often creates a nuisance to the environment can be converted to wealth with appropriate technology” has been removed from the conclusion please verify.</p> <p>The discussion in section 4.1 has been included in the conclusion section .</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		