



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_26621
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF CLAY MINERAL DEPOSITS IN OHIYA, SOUTHEAST NIGERIA USING THE SELF POTENTIAL METHOD AND LITHOLOGS
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Minor revision: the authors must correct the English in, text 1-Line 6 replace: profiles considered by considered profiles 2- line 8 replace Ordered by the ordered 3- line 56: replace locally by locally 4-line 70 replace topography is has by topography has 5- line 121 replace self Potential by self potential 6-line 128 replace mixed by mixed by 7-line 252 replace utilised by used 8 -references the authors must use the same police : line 254, line 261, line 266, 9- line 51 replace figure by fig Line 129 replace figure by fig Line 130 replace figure by fig Line 162 replace figure 5 fig 5	Corrections have been adequately effected in Lines 6, 56, 70, 121, 128, 252, 51, 129, 130 and 162 . Number 2 comment of the Reviewer is not clear to me as there is no such word as 'Ordered' in line 8. The number 8 comment of the Reviewer, "references the authors must use the same police." is also not clear.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		