



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_45362
Title of the Manuscript:	Vectorcardiographic Investigation of Brugada ECG Unmasked by Recording at Higher Intercostal Space
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) In section 2.2 – provide the sampling frequency and amplitude resolution of the device that was used for ECG acquisition. 2) When mentioning the right precordial leads (V1-V3) use the term “standard right precordial leads”. Otherwise, a confusion with the right precordial leads V3R, V4R could occur. 3) What do the authors mean with the term “significant J-point vector” (particularly with the word “significant”)?	1) Thank you for an important suggestion. We put the information with this respect. 2) Yes, standard right precordial and reciprocal right precordial leads are confusing. Authors put the word of 'standard' to avoid this confusion. 3) Thank you for this critique. In scalar ECG, significance of J wave amplitude is ≥ 0.1 mV in ≥ 2 contiguous leads (ref. [3]). This criterion is fulfilled in all five cases enrolled in this study (Table 1). This is the reason why we use the word of 'significant' in this manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	