



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_42636
Title of the Manuscript:	A large Aortopulmonary window with a ventricular septal defect: A rare combination presenting at the age of 16.
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The references are rather old!</p> <p>How long was the follow-up? How fast is she descending?</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. We appreciate them. and corrections have been made taking into consideration the comments.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) New updated recent references have been incorporated. However, few references are landmark papers which defined certain aspects of aortopulmonary window and hence have to be quoted. 2) She is currently stable with NYHA class I symptoms on follow-up visit at 6 months.