



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_32001
Title of the Manuscript:	Dronedarone as a New Option for Hybrid Therapy after Catheter Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have discussed the option of Dronedarone as a New Option for Hybrid Therapy after Catheter Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. The paper has been well presented from the objectives, methodology, results through the conclusion. The paper elicits an important topic which is the pharmacotherapy of AF.	
Minor REVISION comments	The writing reference style within the text Please write the references in the text according to the journal style	
Optional/General comments	The paper is well written and presented. The only issue is that various value did not meet statistical significance.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guy-Armel Bounda
Department, University & Country	Clinical Pharmacy Department, China Pharmaceutical University, China

SDI Review Form 1.6

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

