



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_37773
Title of the Manuscript:	One-year follow-up of the distribution of dyslipidemias among Cameroonian diabetic patients in Ngaoundere, 2014-2015
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																				
Compulsory REVISION comments	What was the body mass index (BMI) value for the both groups? Body weight and height should be inserted in to the table in addition to the age. Novadays, the method used in this study to evaluate hyperglycemia is not gold standard. "Blood glucose levels were measured using a glucometer (Accu-Chek, Performa) after an 8 to 12-hour overnight fast. In accordance with WHO recommended glucose threshold, hyperglycemia was defined as FBG ≥ 126 mg/dL [8]." <table><tr><td colspan="5">The number of subjects in both groups with regarding age is not presentable.</td></tr><tr><td>< 30</td><td>6</td><td>3.14</td><td>46</td><td>35.38</td></tr><tr><td>30 – 50</td><td>53</td><td>27.75</td><td>61</td><td>46.92</td></tr><tr><td>> 50</td><td>132</td><td>69.12</td><td>23</td><td>17.69</td></tr></table> I have completed the review of manuscript entitled "One-year follow-up of the distribution of dyslipidemias among Cameroonian diabetic patients in Ngaoundere, 2014-2015". The study is not well designed. However, considering economical and physical situation of the country where the study performed, it is not totally bad. Body mass index is crucial in this type of studies. Thus they should include de physical characteristic of the subjects.	The number of subjects in both groups with regarding age is not presentable.					< 30	6	3.14	46	35.38	30 – 50	53	27.75	61	46.92	> 50	132	69.12	23	17.69	Weigh Height and BMI were not a variables of interest in the present study; "Do not start with number: "191 diabetic persons" "10 year CV risk estimates" " Thank you for this comment; we will do our best to correct these sentences 1-KEEP Changes highlighted in green 87; 123; 124 2- REMOVE Highlighted in yellow 304; 306; 309;321; 343; 346 3-“We have found some No’s of references in different language in your Reference section. We have translated all these references with the help of GOOGLE TRANSLATOR. Please approve these translated versions of references. If any changes required, you may do that by yellow highlighting on the particular portion.”
The number of subjects in both groups with regarding age is not presentable.																						
< 30	6	3.14	46	35.38																		
30 – 50	53	27.75	61	46.92																		
> 50	132	69.12	23	17.69																		
Minor REVISION comments	Do not start with number: "191 diabetic persons" "10 year CV risk estimates"																					
Optional/General comments																						