



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_36517
Title of the Manuscript:	Kunyima Relations Establishment On SS Sickle Cell Anaemia Women Investigation In Democratic Republic of Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Page 4 Figure is not numbered and what's it is conveying not mentioned. 2. Around 49 equations are mentioned in the paper with no explanations, nor the symbol used in the equations being mentioned about and explained. 3. Table 1 is consuming around 4 pages with what information it is carrying no-where discussed and mentioned. 4. Figures all over in the paper are not numbered and titled. 5. Pages 22-33, 10 pages just containing one figure and table. Just increasing page numbers do not make a good paper. 6. Art of writing a good paper is not at all followed in this paper. 7. No style of citation followed.	Thank you for your suggestions in order to improve the quality of our paper. 1. Ok it is done. 2. See the abbreviations P.2, line 40 and P.3 (equation 43), lines 316,317,446,459. 3. See lines 341,342,445,459. All the informations in the table I.1 until table I.14 bring out from the table I. 4. See bellow each figure (example lines 348,358). 5. These tables and figures are the experimental results found in this new research, the best has been done to give the needful among a lot of available results. Anyway the redaction service will compile the text in order to give good presentation. 6. This art of writing has been decided in laboratory to convey our meaning in this new research. Furthermore some additions in yellow colour improve the quality of this paper. 7. See for example lines 202,203,207. We think the good style of citation is to give the references as it is done here.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		