



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_36193
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlations between Anthropometrics and Electrocardiographic Variables in Japanese University Students: Investigation by Annual Health Screening
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please check the highlighted areas and correct accordingly 6,649 participants aged 19.9 ± 3.6 years ranging from 17 to 32 years (4,693 male and 1,956 female) were the subjects of the study protocol Define QT prolongation in your study. The values does not look like prolonged QT. Please check this article for reference and comment. http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/128/6/e1395 As you mentioned in your study lot of variables can affect the ECG parameters. As you mentioned it is a cross sectional study and single ECG should not determine their health risk. Are there any studies which correlated with ECG findings in adolescence and to their adult cardiac ailments. Most of the parameters found in your study are similar to the other studies.	Thank you for valuable comments. Yes, details of the number, age and gender of subjects belong to study protocol. Authors appreciate nice reference listed by reviewer. Based on this article, borderline QTc range in adolescents was mentioned in the text. Yes, cross sectional nature of this study is a limitation, and authors mentioned this sentence in the paragraph of Limitation. Yes, authors cited new reference concerning this point, i.e., QTc behaviour in relation to nutrition and chronic, low-grade inflammation.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		