



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_34890
Title of the Manuscript:	ENDOMYOCARDIAL FIBROSIS: AN UNUSUAL PRESENTATION
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The case report cum review is interesting addition to clinical spectrum of uncommon disease endomyocardial fibrosis. The management of patient employed very basic investigations besides echocardiography. The therapy with conventional approach also gave reasonable success. The CONCLUSION section of the manuscript with negative note is incorrect and unacceptable. That must be replaced with due reference to respective observations in the presented case. With such rectification, the case report is worth publishing. Thanks for reference.	The other investigations carried out will be included. The negative note in the conclusion was to reflect the poor outcome of EMF in our region. Thanks for the comments
Optional/General comments		