



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_30903
Title of the Manuscript:	Cardiac patients' information integration in dental and medical records: A four-year study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It's good study and important topic	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In Introduction: - The aims of study must clearly with high concise mentioned in the paper - introduction is very very long - The study is not an epidemiology about CVD, PVD, or IHD. Please summarise these pathologies in relationship with dental medical antecedent - More repetitive sentences must deleted Methods - If possible to do a figure about randomization of Sample size and sampling technique. - Error of number should corrected (2077???) Conclusion - The relationship between transportability medical data by dentists' criteria should be indicated	Done - Done. Introduction shortened greatly and re-customized. - Appropriate change done, as required. - Appropriate change done, as required. - Appropriate change done, as required. Done Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		